The Why3 platform

Version 0.72, May 2012

François Bobot[1,2]
Jean-Christophe Filliâtre[1,2]
Claude Marché[2,1]
Guillaume Melquiond[2,1]
Andrei Paskevich[1,2]

[1] LRI, CNRS, Univ Paris-Sud, Orsay, F-91405
[2] INRIA Saclay - Île-de-France, ProVal, Orsay, F-91893

Foreword

This is the manual for the Why platform version 3, or Why3 for short. Why3 is a complete reimplementation [4] of the former Why platform [7] for program verification. Among the new features are: numerous extensions to the input language, a new architecture for calling external provers, and a well-designed API, allowing to use Why3 as a software library. An important emphasis is put on modularity and genericity, giving the end user a possibility to easily reuse Why3 formalizations or to add support for a new external prover if wanted.

Availability

Why3 project page is http://why3.lri.fr/. The last distribution is available there, in source format, together with this documentation and several examples.

Why3 is distributed as open source and freely available under the terms of the GNU LGPL 2.1. See the file LICENSE.

See the file INSTALL for quick installation instructions, and Section 5 of this document for more detailed instructions.

Contact

There is a public mailing list for users' discussions: http://lists.gforge.inria.fr/mailman/listinfo/why3-club.

Report any bug to the Why3 Bug Tracking System: https://gforge.inria.fr/tracker/?atid=10293&group_id=2990&func=browse.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully thank the people who contributed to Why3, directly or indirectly: Romain Bardou, Simon Cruanes, Johannes Kanig, Stéphane Lescuyer, Simão Melo de Sousa, Guillaume Melquiond, Benjamin Monate, Asma Tafat.

Summary of Changes w.r.t. Why 2

The main new features with respect to Why 2.xx are the following.

1. Completely redesigned input syntax for logic declarations

   - new syntax for terms and formulas
   - enumerated and algebraic data types, pattern matching
   - recursive definitions of logic functions and predicates, with termination checking
   - inductive definitions of predicates

- declarations are structured in components called "theories", which can be reused and instantiated

2. More generic handling of goals and lemmas to prove

   - concept of proof task
   - generic concept of task transformation
   - generic approach for communicating with external provers

3. Source code organized as a library with a documented API, to allow access to Why3 features programmatically.

4. GUI with new features w.r.t. the former GWhy

   - session save and restore
   - prover calls in parallel
   - splitting, and more generally applying task transformations, on demand
   - ability to edit proofs for interactive provers (Coq only for the moment) on any subtask

5. Extensible architecture via plugins

   - users can define new transformations
   - users can add connections to additional provers

Contents

Part I

Tutorial

Chapter 1

Getting Started

1.1 Hello Proofs

The first and basic step in using Why3 is to write a suitable input file. When one wants to learn a programming language, you start by writing a basic program. Here we start by writing a file containing a basic set of goals.

Here is our first Why3 file, which is the file `examples/hello_proof.why` of the distribution.

```
theory HelloProof "My very first Why3 theory"

  goal G1 : true

  goal G2 : (true -> false) /\ (true \/ false)

  use import int.Int

  goal G3: forall x:int. x*x >= 0

end
```

Any declaration must occur inside a theory, which is in that example called TheoryProof and labelled with a comment inside double quotes. It contains three goals named G_1, G_2, G_3. The first two are basic propositional goals, whereas the third involves some integer arithmetic, and thus it requires to import the theory of integer arithmetic from the Why3 standard library, which is done by the `use` declaration above.

We don't give more details here about the syntax and refer to Chapter 2 for detailed explanations. In the following, we show how this file is handled in the Why3 GUI (Section 1.2) then in batch mode using the `why3` executable (Section 1.3).

1.2 Getting Started with the GUI

The graphical interface allows to browse into a file or a set of files, and check the validity of goals with external provers, in a friendly way. This section presents the basic use of this GUI. Please refer to Section 6.3 for a more complete description.

The GUI is launched on the file above as follows.

```
why3ide hello_proof.why
```

Figure 1.1: The GUI when started the very first time

When the GUI is started for the first time, you should get a window which looks like the screenshot of Figure 1.1.

The left column is a tool bar which provides different actions to apply on goals. The section "Provers" displays the provers that were detected as installed on your computer[1]. Three provers were detected, in this case these are Alt-Ergo [5], Coq [2] and Simplify [6].

The middle part is a tree view that allows to browse inside the theories. In this tree view, we have a structured view of the file: this file contains one theory, itself containg three goals.

In Figure 1.2, we clicked on the row corresponding to goal G_1. The *task* associated with this goal is then displayed on the top right, and the corresponding part of the input file is shown on the bottom right part.

1.2.1   Calling provers on goals

You are now ready to call these provers on the goals. Whenever you click on a prover button, this prover is called on the goal selected in the tree view. You can select several goals at a time, either by using multi-selection (typically by clicking while pressing the Shift or Ctrl key) or by selecting the parent theory or the parent file. Let us now select

---

[1]If not done yet, you must perform prover autodetection using `why3config -detect-provers`

Figure 1.2: The GUI with goal G1 selected

the theory "HelloProof" and click on the Simplify button. After a short time, you should get the display of Figure 1.3.

The goal G_1 is now marked with a green "checked" icon in the status column. This means that the goal is proved by the Simplify prover. On the contrary, the two other goals are not proved, they remain marked with an orange question mark.

You can immediately attempt to prove the remaining goals using another prover, *e.g.* Alt-Ergo, by clicking on the corresponding button. The goal G_3 should be proved now, but not G_2.

1.2.2   Applying transformations

Instead of calling a prover on a goal, you can apply a transformation to it. Since G_2 is a conjunction, a possibility is to split it into subgoals. You can do that by clicking on the Split button of section "Transformations" of the left toolbar. Now you have two subgoals, and you can try again a prover on them, for example Simplify. We already have a lot of goals and proof attempts, so it is a good idea to close the sub-trees which are already proved: this can be done by the menu View/Collapse proved goals, or even better by its shortcut "Ctrl-C". You should see now what is displayed on Figure 1.4.

The first part of goal G_2 is still unproved. As a last resort, we can try to call the Coq proof assistant. The first step is to click on the Coq button. A new sub-row appear for Coq, and unsurprisingly the goal is not proved by Coq either. What can be done now is editing the proof: select that row and then click on the Edit button in section "Tools" of the toolbar. This should launch the Coq proof editor, which is `coqide` by default (see Section 6.3 for details on how to configure this). You get now a regular Coq file to fill

Figure 1.3: The GUI after Simplify prover is run on each goal

Figure 1.4: The GUI after splitting goal G_2 and collapsing proved goals

Figure 1.5: CoqIDE on subgoal 1 of G_2

in, as shown on Figure 1.5. Please take care of the comments of this file. Only the part between the two last comments can be modified. Moreover, these comments themselves should not be modified at all, they are used to mark the part you modify, in order to regenerate the file if the goal is changed.

Of course, in that particular case, the goal cannot be proved since it is not valid. The only thing to do is to fix the input file, as explained below.

1.2.3 Modifying the input

Currently, the GUI does not allow to modify the input file. You must edit the file external by some editor of your choice. Let's assume we change the goal G_2 by replacing the first occurrence of true by false, *e.g.*

```
goal G2 : (false -> false) /\ (true \/ false)
```

We can reload the modified file in the IDE using menu File/Reload, or the shortcut "Ctrl-R". We get the tree view shown on Figure 1.6.

The important feature to notice first is that all the previous proof attempts and transformations were saved in a database — an XML file created when the Why3 file was opened in the GUI for the first time. Then, for all the goals that remain unchanged, the previous proofs are shown again. For the parts that changed, the previous proofs attempts are shown but marked with "(obsolete)" so that you know the results are not accurate. You can now retry to prove all what remains unproved using any of the provers.

Figure 1.6: File reloaded after modifying goal G_2

1.2.4   Replaying obsolete proofs

Instead of pushing a prover's button to rerun its proofs, you can *replay* the existing but obsolete proof attempts, by clicking on the Replay button. By default, Replay only replays proofs that were successful before. If you want to replay all of them, you must select the context all goals at the top of the left tool bar.

Notice that replaying can be done in batch mode, using the `why3replayer` tool (see Section 6.6) For example, running the replayer on the `hello_proof` example is as follows (assuming G_2 still is `(true -> false) /\ (true \/ false)`).


```
$ why3replayer hello_proof
Info: found directory 'hello_proof' for the project
Opening session...[Xml warning] prolog ignored
[Reload] file '../hello_proof.why'
[Reload] theory 'HelloProof'
[Reload] transformation split_goal for goal G2
 done
Progress: 9/9
 2/3
   +--file ../hello_proof.why: 2/3
      +--theory HelloProof: 2/3
         +--goal G2 not proved
Everything OK.
```


The last line tells us that no difference was detected between the current run and the informations in the XML file. The tree above reminds us that the G2 is not proved.

1.2.5 Cleaning

You may want to clean some the proof attempts, *e.g.* removing the unsuccessful ones when a project is finally fully proved.

A proof or a transformation can be removed by selecting it and clicking on button Remove. You must confirm the removal. Beware that there is no way to undo such a removal.

The Clean button performs an automatic removal of all proofs attempts that are unsuccessful, while there exists a successful proof attempt for the same goal.

1.3 Getting Started with the **Why3** Command

The why3 command allows to check the validity of goals with external provers, in batch mode. This section presents the basic use of this tool. Refer to Section 6.2 for a more complete description of this tool and all its command-line options.

The very first time you want to use Why, you should proceed with autodetection of external provers. We have already seen how to do it in the Why3 GUI. On the command line, this is done as follows (here ">" is the prompt):

```
> why3config --detect
```

This prints some information messages on what detections are attempted. To know which provers have been successfully detected, you can do as follows.

```
> why3 --list-provers
Known provers:
  alt-ergo (Alt-Ergo)
  coq (Coq)
  simplify (Simplify)
```

The first word of each line is a unique identifier for the associated prover. We thus have now the three provers Alt-Ergo [5], Coq [2] and Simplify [6].

Let's assume now we want to run Simplify on the HelloProof example. The command to type and its output are as follows, where the -P option is followed by the unique prover identifier (as shown by -list-provers option).

```
> why3 -P simplify hello_proof.why
hello_proof.why HelloProof G1 : Valid (0.10s)
hello_proof.why HelloProof G2 : Unknown: Unknown (0.01s)
hello_proof.why HelloProof G3 : Unknown: Unknown (0.00s)
```

Unlike Why3 GUI, the command-line tool does not save the proof attempts or applied transformations in a database.

We can also specify which goal or goals to prove. This is done by giving first a theory identifier, then goal identifier(s). Here is the way to call Alt-Ergo on goals G_2 and G_3.

```
> why3 -P alt-ergo hello_proof.why -T HelloProof -G G2 -G G3
hello_proof.why HelloProof G2 : Unknown: Unknown (0.01s)
hello_proof.why HelloProof G3 : Valid (0.01s)
```

Finally, a transformation to apply to goals before proving them can be specified. To know the unique identifier associated to a transformation, do as follows.

```
> why3 --list-transforms
Known non-splitting transformations:
  [...]

Known splitting transformations:
  [...]
  split_goal
  split_intro
```

Here is how you can split the goal G_2 before calling Simplify on resulting subgoals.

```
> why3 -P simplify hello_proof.why -a split_goal -T HelloProof -G G2
hello_proof.why HelloProof G2 : Unknown: Unknown (0.00s)
hello_proof.why HelloProof G2 : Valid (0.00s)
```

Section 11.5 gives the description of the various transformations available.

Chapter 2

The **Why3** Language

This chapter describes the input syntax, and informally gives its semantics, illustrated by examples.

A Why3 text contains a list of *theories*. A theory is a list of *declarations*. Declarations introduce new types, functions and predicates, state axioms, lemmas and goals. These declarations can be directly written in the theory or taken from existing theories. The base logic of Why3 is first-order logic with polymorphic types.

2.1 Example 1: lists

The Figure 2.1 contains an example of Why3 input text, containing three theories. The first theory, `List`, declares a new algebraic type for polymorphic lists, `list 'a`. As in ML, `'a` stands for a type variable. The type `list 'a` has two constructors, `Nil` and `Cons`. Both constructors can be used as usual function symbols, respectively of type `list 'a` and `'a × list 'a → list 'a`. We deliberately make this theory that short, for reasons which will be discussed later.

The next theory, `Length`, introduces the notion of list length. The `use import List` command indicates that this new theory may refer to symbols from theory `List`. These symbols are accessible in a qualified form, such as `List.list` or `List.Cons`. The `import` qualifier additionally allows us to use them without qualification.

Similarly, the next command `use import int.Int` adds to our context the theory `int.Int` from the standard library. The prefix `int` indicates the file in the standard library containing theory `Int`. Theories referred to without prefix either appear earlier in the current file, *e.g.* `List`, or are predefined.

The next declaration defines a recursive function, `length`, which computes the length of a list. The `function` and `predicate` keywords are used to introduce function and predicate symbols, respectively. Why3 checks every recursive, or mutually recursive, definition for termination. Basically, we require a lexicographic and structural descent for every recursive call for some reordering of arguments. Notice that matching must be exhaustive and that every `match` expression must be terminated by the `end` keyword.

Despite using higher-order "curried" syntax, Why3 does not permit partial application: function and predicate arities must be respected.

The last declaration in theory `Length` is a lemma stating that the length of a list is non-negative.

The third theory, `Sorted`, demonstrates the definition of an inductive predicate. Every such definition is a list of clauses: universally closed implications where the consequent is an instance of the defined predicate. Moreover, the defined predicate may only occur in

```
theory List
  type list 'a = Nil | Cons 'a (list 'a)
end

theory Length
  use import List
  use import int.Int

  function length (l : list 'a) : int =
    match l with
    | Nil      -> 0
    | Cons _ r -> 1 + length r
    end

  lemma Length_nonnegative : forall l:list 'a. length l >= 0
end

theory Sorted
  use import List
  use import int.Int

  inductive sorted (list int) =
    | Sorted_Nil :
        sorted Nil
    | Sorted_One :
        forall x:int. sorted (Cons x Nil)
    | Sorted_Two :
        forall x y : int, l : list int.
        x <= y -> sorted (Cons y l) -> sorted (Cons x (Cons y l))
end
```

Figure 2.1: Example of Why3 text.

positive positions in the antecedent. For example, a clause:

```
  | Sorted_Bad :
      forall x y : int, l : list int.
      (sorted (Cons y l) -> y > x) -> sorted (Cons x (Cons y l))
```

would not be allowed. This positivity condition assures the logical soundness of an inductive definition.

Note that the type signature of `sorted` predicate does not include the name of a parameter (see `l` in the definition of `length`): it is unused and therefore optional.

2.2   Example 1 (continued): lists and abstract orderings

In the previous section we have seen how a theory can reuse the declarations of another theory, coming either from the same input text or from the library. Another way to referring to a theory is by "cloning". A `clone` declaration constructs a local copy of the

```
theory Order
  type t
  predicate (<=) t t

  axiom Le_refl : forall x : t. x <= x
  axiom Le_asym : forall x y : t. x <= y -> y <= x -> x = y
  axiom Le_trans: forall x y z : t. x <= y -> y <= z -> x <= z
end

theory SortedGen
  use import List
  clone import Order as O

  inductive sorted (l : list t) =
    | Sorted_Nil :
        sorted Nil
    | Sorted_One :
        forall x:t. sorted (Cons x Nil)
    | Sorted_Two :
        forall x y : t, l : list t.
        x <= y -> sorted (Cons y l) -> sorted (Cons x (Cons y l))
end

theory SortedIntList
  use import int.Int
  clone SortedGen with type O.t = int, predicate O.(<=) = (<=)
end
```

Figure 2.2: Example of Why3 text (continued).

cloned theory, possibly instantiating some of its abstract (*i.e.* declared but not defined) symbols.

Consider the continued example in Figure 2.2. We write an abstract theory of partial orders, declaring an abstract type `t` and an abstract binary predicate `<=`. Notice that an infix operation must be enclosed in parentheses when used outside a term. We also specify three axioms of a partial order.

There is little value in `use`'ing such a theory: this would constrain us to stay with the type `t`. However, we can construct an instance of theory `Order` for any suitable type and predicate. Moreover, we can build some further abstract theories using order, and then instantiate those theories.

Consider theory `SortedGen`. In the beginning, we `use` the earlier theory `List`. Then we make a simple `clone` theory `Order`. This is pretty much equivalent to copy-pasting every declaration from `Order` to `SortedGen`; the only difference is that `Why3` traces the history of cloning and transformations and drivers often make use of it (see Section 11.4).

Notice an important difference between `use` and `clone`. If we `use` a theory, say `List`, twice (directly or indirectly: *e.g.* by making `use` of both `Length` and `Sorted`), there is no duplication: there is still only one type of lists and a unique pair of constructors. On the contrary, when we `clone` a theory, we create a local copy of every cloned declaration, and the newly created symbols, despite having the same names, are different from their

originals.

Returning to the example, we finish theory `SortedGen` with a familiar definition of predicate `sorted`; this time we use the abstract order on the values of type `t`.

Now, we can instantiate theory `SortedGen` to any ordered type, without having to retype the definition of `sorted`. For example, theory `SortedIntList` makes `clone` of `SortedGen` (*i.e.* copies its declarations) substituting type `int` for type `O.t` of `SortedGen` and the default order on integers for predicate `O.(<=)`. Why3 will control that the result of cloning is well-typed.

Several remarks ought to be made here. First of all, why should we clone theory `Order` in `SortedGen` if we make no instantiation? Couldn't we write `use import Order as O` instead? The answer is no, we could not. When cloning a theory, we only can instantiate the symbols declared locally in this theory, not the symbols imported with `use`. Therefore, we create a local copy of `Order` in `SortedGen` to be able to instantiate `t` and `(<=)` later.

Secondly, when we instantiate an abstract symbol, its declaration is not copied from the theory being cloned. Thus, we will not create a second declaration of type `int` in `SortedIntList`.

The mechanism of cloning bears some resemblance to modules and functors of ML-like languages. Unlike those languages, Why3 makes no distinction between modules and module signatures, modules and functors. Any Why3 theory can be `use`'d directly or instantiated in any of its abstract symbols.

The command-line tool `why3` (described in Section 1.3), allows us to see the effect of cloning. If the input file containing our example is called `lists.why`, we can launch the following command:

```
> why3 lists.why -T SortedIntList
```

to see the resulting theory `SortedIntList`:

```
theory SortedIntList
  (* use BuiltIn *)
  (* use Int *)
  (* use List *)

  axiom Le_refl : forall x:int. x <= x
  axiom Le_asym : forall x:int, y:int. x <= y -> y <= x -> x = y
  axiom Le_trans : forall x:int, y:int, z:int. x <= y -> y <= z
    -> x <= z

  (* clone Order with type t = int, predicate (<=) = (<=),
    prop Le_trans1 = Le_trans, prop Le_asym1 = Le_asym,
    prop Le_refl1 = Le_refl *)

  inductive sorted (list int) =
    | Sorted_Nil : sorted (Nil:list int)
    | Sorted_One : forall x:int. sorted (Cons x (Nil:list int))
    | Sorted_Two : forall x:int, y:int, l:list int. x <= y ->
        sorted (Cons y l) -> sorted (Cons x (Cons y l))

  (* clone SortedGen with type t1 = int, predicate sorted1 = sorted,
    predicate (<=) = (<=), prop Sorted_Two1 = Sorted_Two,
    prop Sorted_One1 = Sorted_One, prop Sorted_Nil1 = Sorted_Nil,
```

```
    prop Le_trans2 = Le_trans, prop Le_asym2 = Le_asym,
    prop Le_refl2 = Le_refl *)
end
```

In conclusion, let us briefly explain the concept of namespaces in Why3. Both `use` and `clone` instructions can be used in three forms (the examples below are given for `use`, the semantics for `clone` is the same):

- `use List as L` — every symbol *s* of theory `List` is accessible under the name `L.`*s*. The `as L` part is optional, if it is omitted, the name of the symbol is `List.`*s*.

- `use import List as L` — every symbol *s* from `List` is accessible under the name `L.`*s*. It is also accessible simply as *s*, but only up to the end of the current namespace, *e.g.* the current theory. If the current theory, that is the one making `use`, is later used under the name `T`, the name of the symbol would be `T.L.`*s*. (This is why we could refer directly to the symbols of `Order` in theory `SortedGen`, but had to qualify them with `O.` in `SortedIntList`.) As in the previous case, `as L` part is optional.

- `use export List` — every symbol *s* from `List` is accessible simply as *s*. If the current theory is later used under the name `T`, the name of the symbol would be `T.`*s*.

Why3 allows to open new namespaces explicitly in the text. In particular, the instruction "`clone import Order as O`" can be equivalently written as:

```
namespace import O
  clone export Order
end
```

However, since Why3 favours short theories over long and complex ones, this feature is rarely used.

2.3 Example 2: Einstein's problem

We now consider another, slightly more complex example: how to use Why3 to solve a little puzzle known as "Einstein's logic problem"[1]. The problem is stated as follows. Five persons, of five different nationalities, live in five houses in a row, all painted with different colors. These five persones own different pets, drink different beverages and smoke different brands of cigars. We are given the following information:

- The Englishman lives in a red house;

- The Swede has dogs;

- The Dane drinks tea;

- The green house is on the left of the white one;

- The green house's owner drinks coffee;

- The person who smokes Pall Mall has birds;

- The yellow house's owner smokes Dunhill;

---

[1]This Why3 example was contributed by Stéphane Lescuyer.

- In the house in the center lives someone who drinks milk;

- The Norwegian lives in the first house;

- The man who smokes Blends lives next to the one who has cats;

- The man who owns a horse lives next to the one who smokes Dunhills;

- The man who smokes Blue Masters drinks beer;

- The German smokes Prince;

- The Norwegian lives next to the blue house;

- The man who smokes Blends has a neighbour who drinks water.

The question is: what is the nationality of the fish's owner?

We start by introducing a general-purpose theory defining the notion of *bijection*, as two abstract types together with two functions from one to the other and two axioms stating that these functions are inverse of each other.

```
theory Bijection
  type t
  type u

  function of t : u
  function to u : t

  axiom To_of : forall x : t. to (of x) = x
  axiom Of_to : forall y : u. of (to y) = y
end
```

We now start a new theory, Einstein, which will contain all the individuals of the problem.

```
theory Einstein "Einstein's problem"
```

First we introduce enumeration types for houses, colors, persons, drinks, cigars and pets.

```
type house  = H1 | H2 | H3 | H4 | H5
type color  = Blue | Green | Red | White | Yellow
type person = Dane | Englishman | German | Norwegian | Swede
type drink  = Beer | Coffee | Milk | Tea | Water
type cigar  = Blend | BlueMaster | Dunhill | PallMall | Prince
type pet    = Birds | Cats | Dogs | Fish | Horse
```

We now express that each house is associated bijectively to a color, by cloning the Bijection theory appropriately.

```
clone Bijection as Color with type t = house, type u = color
```

It introduces two functions, namely Color.of and Color.to, from houses to colors and colors to houses, respectively, and the two axioms relating them. Similarly, we express that each house is associated bijectively to a person

```
clone Bijection as Owner with type t = house, type u = person
```

and that drinks, cigars and pets are all associated bijectively to persons:

```
clone Bijection as Drink with type t = person, type u = drink
clone Bijection as Cigar with type t = person, type u = cigar
clone Bijection as Pet   with type t = person, type u = pet
```

Next we need a way to state that a person lives next to another. We first define a predicate `leftof` over two houses.

```
predicate leftof (h1 h2 : house) =
  match h1, h2 with
  | H1, H2
  | H2, H3
  | H3, H4
  | H4, H5 -> true
  | _      -> false
  end
```

Note how we advantageously used pattern matching, with an or-pattern for the four positive cases and a universal pattern for the remaining 21 cases. It is then immediate to define a `neighbour` predicate over two houses, which completes theory `Einstein`.

```
predicate rightof (h1 h2 : house) =
  leftof h2 h1
predicate neighbour (h1 h2 : house) =
  leftof h1 h2 \/ rightof h1 h2
end
```

The next theory contains the 15 hypotheses. It starts by importing theory `Einstein`.

```
theory EinsteinHints "Hints"
  use import Einstein
```

Then each hypothesis is stated in terms of `to` and `of` functions. For instance, the hypothesis "The Englishman lives in a red house" is declared as the following axiom.

```
axiom Hint1: Color.of (Owner.to Englishman) = Red
```

And so on for all other hypotheses, up to "The man who smokes Blends has a neighbour who drinks water", which completes this theory.

```
...
axiom Hint15:
  neighbour (Owner.to (Cigar.to Blend)) (Owner.to (Drink.to Water))
end
```

Finally, we declare the goal in the fourth theory:

```
theory Problem "Goal of Einstein's problem"
  use import Einstein
  use import EinsteinHints


  goal G: Pet.to Fish = German
end
```

and we are ready to use Why3 to discharge this goal with any prover of our choice.

Chapter 3

The Why3ML Programming Language

This chapter describes the Why3ML programming language. A Why3ML input text contains a list of theories (see chapter 2) and/or modules. Modules extend theories with *programs*. Programs can use all types, symbols, and constructs from the logic. They also provide extra features:

- In a record type declaration, some fields can be declared `mutable`.

- There are programming constructs with no counterpart in the logic:

  - mutable field assignment;
  - sequence;
  - loops;
  - exceptions;
  - local and anonymous functions;
  - annotations: pre- and postconditions, assertions, loop invariants.

- A program function can be non-terminating or can be proved to be terminating using a variant (a term together with a well-founded order relation).

- An abstract program type t can be introduced with a logical *model* τ: inside programs, t is abstract, and inside annotations, t is an alias for τ.

Programs are contained in files with suffix `.mlw`. They are handled by the tool `why3ml`, which has a command line similar to `why3`. For instance

```
% why3ml myfile.mlw
```

will display the verification conditions extracted from modules in file `myfile.mlw`, as a set of corresponding theories, and

```
% why3ml -P alt-ergo myfile.mlw
```

will run the SMT solver Alt-Ergo on these verification conditions. Program files are also handled by the GUI tool `why3ide`. See Chapter 6 for more details regarding command lines.

As an introduction to Why3ML, we use the five problems from the VSTTE 2010 verification competition [10]. The source code for all these examples is contained in Why3's distribution, in sub-directory `examples/programs/`.

3.1   Problem 1: Sum and Maximum

The first problem is stated as follows:

> Given an *N*-element array of natural numbers, write a program to compute
> the sum and the maximum of the elements in the array.

We assume $N \geq 0$ and $a[i] \geq 0$ for $0 \leq i < N$, as precondition, and we have to prove the
following postcondition:

$$sum \leq N \times max.$$

In a file `max_sum.mlw`, we start a new module:

```
module MaxAndSum
```

We are obviously needing arithmetic, so we import the corresponding theory, exactly as
we would do within a theory definition:

```
use import int.Int
```

We are also going to use references and arrays from Why3ML's standard library, so we
import the corresponding modules, with a similar declaration:

```
use import module ref.Ref
use import module array.Array
```

The additional keyword `module` means that we are looking for `.mlw` files from the standard
library (namely `ref.mlw` and `array.mlw` here), instead of `.why` files. Modules `Ref` and
`Array` respectively provide a type `ref 'a` for references and a type `array 'a` for arrays
(see Section 8.2), together with useful operations and traditional syntax.

   We are now in position to define a program function `max_sum`. A function definition is
introduced with the keyword `let`. In our case, it introduces a function with two arguments,
an array `a` and its size `n`:

```
let max_sum (a: array int) (n: int) = ...
```

(There is a function `length` to get the size of an array but we add this extra parameter
`n` to stay close to the original problem statement.) The function body is a Hoare triple,
that is a precondition, a program expression, and a postcondition.

```
let max_sum (a: array int) (n: int) =
  { 0 <= n = length a /\ forall i:int. 0 <= i < n -> a[i] >= 0 }
    ... expression ...
  { let (sum, max) = result in sum <= n * max }
```

The precondition expresses that `n` is non-negative and is equal to the length of `a` (this
will be needed for verification conditions related to array bound checking), and that all
elements of `a` are non-negative. The postcondition assumes that the value returned by
the function, denoted `result`, is a pair of integers, and decomposes it as the pair (`sum`,
`max`) to express the required property.

   We are now left with the function body itself, that is a code computing the sum and
the maximum of all elements in `a`. With no surpise, it is as simple as introducing two
local references

```
let sum = ref 0 in
let max = ref 0 in
```

```
module MaxAndSum

  use import int.Int
  use import module ref.Ref
  use import module array.Array

  let max_sum (a: array int) (n: int) =
    { 0 <= n = length a /\ forall i:int. 0 <= i < n -> a[i] >= 0 }
    let sum = ref 0 in
    let max = ref 0 in
    for i = 0 to n - 1 do
      invariant { !sum <= i * !max }
      if !max < a[i] then max := a[i];
      sum := !sum + a[i]
    done;
    (!sum, !max)
    { let (sum, max) = result in sum <= n * max }

end
```

Figure 3.1: Solution for VSTTE'10 competition problem 1.

scanning the array with a `for` loop, updating `max` and `sum`

```
for i = 0 to n - 1 do
  if !max < a[i] then max := a[i];
  sum := !sum + a[i]
done;
```

and finally returning the pair of the values contained in `sum` and `max`:

```
(!sum, !max)
```

This completes the code for function `max_sum`. As such, it cannot be proved correct, since the loop is still lacking a loop invariant. In this case, the loop invariant is as simple as `!sum <= i * !max`, since the postcondition only requires to prove `sum <= n * max`. The loop invariant is introduced with the keyword `invariant`, immediately after the keyword `do`.

```
for i = 0 to n - 1 do
  invariant { !sum <= i * !max }
  ...
done
```

There is no need to introduce a variant, as the termination of a `for` loop is automatically guaranteed. This completes module `MaxAndSum`. Figure 3.1 shows the whole code. We can now proceed to its verification. Running `why3ml`, or better `why3ide`, on file `max_sum.mlw` will show a single verification condition with name `WP_parameter_max_sum`. Discharging this verification condition with an automated theorem prover will not succeed, most likely, as it involves non-linear arithmetic. Repeated applications of goal splitting and calls to SMT solvers (within `why3ide`) will typically leave a single, unsolved goal, which reduces

to proving the following sequent:

$$s \leq i \times max, \ max < a[i] \vdash s + a[i] \leq (i+1) \times a[i].$$

This is easily discharged using an interactive proof assistant such as Coq, and thus completes the verification.

3.2   Problem 2: Inverting an Injection

The second problem is stated as follows:

> Invert an injective array A on N elements in the subrange from 0 to $N-1$,
> i.e., the output array B must be such that $B[A[i]] = i$ for $0 \leq i < N$.

We may assume that A is surjective and we have to prove that the resulting array is also injective. The code is immediate, since it is as simple as

```
for i = 0 to n - 1 do b[a[i]] <- i done
```

so it is more a matter of specification and of getting the proof done with as much automation as possible. In a new file, we start a new module and we import arithmetic and arrays:

```
module InvertingAnInjection
  use import int.Int
  use import module array.Array
```

It is convenient to introduce predicate definitions for the properties of being injective and surjective. These are purely logical declarations:

```
predicate injective (a: array int) (n: int) =
  forall i j: int. 0 <= i < n -> 0 <= j < n -> i <> j -> a[i] <> a[j]

predicate surjective (a: array int) (n: int) =
  forall i: int. 0 <= i < n -> exists j: int. (0 <= j < n /\ a[j] = i)
```

It is also convenient to introduce the predicate "being in the subrange from 0 to $n-1$":

```
predicate range (a: array int) (n: int) =
  forall i: int. 0 <= i < n -> 0 <= a[i] < n
```

Using these predicates, we can formulate the assumption that any injective array of size n within the range $0..n-1$ is also surjective:

```
lemma injective_surjective:
  forall a: array int, n: int.
  injective a n -> range a n -> surjective a n
```

We declare it as a lemma rather than as an axiom, since it is actually provable. It requires induction and can be proved using the Coq proof assistant for instance. Finally we can give the code a specification, with a loop invariant which simply expresses the values assigned to array b so far:

```
module InvertingAnInjection

  use import int.Int
  use import module array.Array

  predicate injective (a: array int) (n: int) =
    forall i j: int. 0 <= i < n -> 0 <= j < n -> i <> j -> a[i] <> a[j]

  predicate surjective (a: array int) (n: int) =
    forall i: int. 0 <= i < n -> exists j: int. (0 <= j < n /\ a[j] = i)

  predicate range (a: array int) (n: int) =
    forall i: int. 0 <= i < n -> 0 <= a[i] < n

  lemma injective_surjective:
    forall a: array int, n: int.
    injective a n -> range a n -> surjective a n

  let inverting (a: array int) (b: array int) (n: int) =
    { 0 <= n = length a = length b /\ injective a n /\ range a n }
    for i = 0 to n - 1 do
      invariant { forall j: int. 0 <= j < i -> b[a[j]] = j }
      b[a[i]] <- i
    done
    { injective b n }

end
```

Figure 3.2: Solution for VSTTE'10 competition problem 2.

```
    let inverting (a: array int) (b: array int) (n: int) =
      { 0 <= n = length a = length b /\ injective a n /\ range a n }
      for i = 0 to n - 1 do
        invariant { forall j: int. 0 <= j < i -> b[a[j]] = j }
        b[a[i]] <- i
      done
      { injective b n }
```

Here we chose to have array b as argument; returning a freshly allocated array would be equally simple. The whole module is given Figure 3.2. The verification conditions for function `inverting` are easily discharged automatically, thanks to the lemma.

3.3 Problem 3: Searching a Linked List

The third problem is stated as follows:

> Given a linked list representation of a list of integers, find the index of the first element that is equal to 0.

More precisely, the specification says

You have to show that the program returns an index i equal to the length of
the list if there is no such element. Otherwise, the i-th element of the list must
be equal to 0, and all the preceding elements must be non-zero.

Since the list is not mutated, we can use the algebraic data type of polymorphic lists
from Why3's standard library, defined in theory `list.List`. It comes with other handy
theories: `list.Length`, which provides a function `length`, and `list.Nth`, which provides
a function `nth` for the n-th element of a list. The latter returns an option type, depending
on whether the index is meaningful or not.

```
module SearchingALinkedList
  use import int.Int
  use export list.List
  use export list.Length
  use export list.Nth
```

It is helpful to introduce two predicates: a first one for a successful search,

```
predicate zero_at (l: list int) (i: int) =
  nth i l = Some 0 /\ forall j:int. 0 <= j < i -> nth j l <> Some 0
```

and another for a non-successful search,

```
predicate no_zero (l: list int) =
  forall j:int. 0 <= j < length l -> nth j l <> Some 0
```

We are now in position to give the code for the search function. We write it as a recursive
function `search` that scans a list for the first zero value:

```
let rec search (i: int) (l: list int) = match l with
  | Nil      -> i
  | Cons x r -> if x = 0 then i else search (i+1) r
  end
```

Passing an index `i` as first argument allows to perform a tail call. A simpler code (yet
less efficient) would return 0 in the first branch and `1 + search ...` in the second one,
avoiding the extra argument `i`.

   We first prove the termination of this recursive function. It amounts to give it a
variant, that is an term integer term which stays non-negative and strictly decreases at
each recursive call. Here it is as simple as the length of `l`:

```
let rec search (i: int) (l: list int) variant { length l } = ...
```

(It is worth pointing out that variants are not limited to natural numbers. Any other type
equipped with a well-founded order relation can be used instead.) There is no precondition
for function `search`. The postcondition expresses that either a zero value is found, and
consequently the value returned is bounded accordingly,

```
i <= result < i + length l /\ zero_at l (result - i)
```

or no zero value was found, and thus the returned value is exactly `i` plus the length of `l`:

```
result = i + length l /\ no_zero l
```

```
module SearchingALinkedList

  use import int.Int
  use export list.List
  use export list.Length
  use export list.Nth

  predicate zero_at (l: list int) (i: int) =
    nth i l = Some 0 /\ forall j:int. 0 <= j < i -> nth j l <> Some 0

  predicate no_zero (l: list int) =
    forall j:int. 0 <= j < length l -> nth j l <> Some 0

  let rec search (i: int) (l: list int) variant { length l } =
    {}
    match l with
    | Nil -> i
    | Cons x r -> if x = 0 then i else search (i+1) r
    end
    { (i <= result < i + length l /\ zero_at l (result - i))
      \/
      (result = i + length l /\ no_zero l) }

  let search_list (l: list int) =
    { }
    search 0 l
    { (0 <= result < length l /\ zero_at l result)
      \/
      (result = length l /\ no_zero l) }

end
```

Figure 3.3: Solution for VSTTE'10 competition problem 3.

Solving the problem is simply a matter of calling `search` with 0 as first argument. The code is given Figure 3.3. The verification conditions are all discharged automatically.

Alternatively, we can implement the search with a `while` loop. To do this, we need to import references from the standard library, together with theory `list.HdTl` which defines function `hd` and `tl` over lists.

```
use import module ref.Ref
use import list.HdTl
```

Being partial functions, `hd` and `tl` return options. For the purpose of our code, though, it is simpler to have functions which do not return options, but have preconditions instead. Such a function `head` is defined as follows:

```
let head (l: list 'a) =
  { l <> Nil }
  match l with Nil -> absurd | Cons h _ -> h end
  { hd l = Some result }
```

The program construct `absurd` denotes an unreachable piece of code. It generates the verification condition `false`, which is here provable using the precondition (the list cannot be `Nil`). Function `tail` is defined similarly:

```
let tail (l : list 'a) =
  { l <> Nil }
  match l with Nil -> absurd | Cons _ t -> t end
  { tl l = Some result }
```

Using `head` and `tail`, it is straightforward to implement the search as a `while` loop. It uses a local reference `i` to store the index and another local reference `s` to store the list being scanned. As long as `s` is not empty and its head is not zero, it increments `i` and advances in `s` using function `tail`.

```
let search_loop l =
  { }
  let i = ref 0 in
  let s = ref l in
  while !s <> Nil && head !s <> 0 do
    invariant { ... }
    variant   { length !s }
    i := !i + 1;
    s := tail !s
  done;
  !i
  { ... same postcondition as search_list ... }
```

The postcondition is exactly the same as for function `search_list`. The termination of the `while` loop is ensured using a variant, exactly as for a recursive function. Such a variant must strictly decrease at each execution of the loop body. The reader is invited to figure out the loop invariant.

3.4   Problem 4: N-Queens

The fourth problem is probably the most challenging one. We have to verify the implementation of a program which solves the N-queens puzzle: place N queens on an $N \times N$ chess board so that no queen can capture another one with a legal move. The program should return a placement if there is a solution and indicates that there is no solution otherwise. A placement is a N-element array which assigns the queen on row i to its column. Thus we start our module by importing arithmetic and arrays:

```
module NQueens
  use import int.Int
  use import module array.Array
```

The code is a simple backtracking algorithm, which tries to put a queen on each row of the chess board, one by one (there is basically no better way to solve the N-queens puzzle). A building block is a function which checks whether the queen on a given row may attack another queen on a previous row. To verify this function, we first define a more elementary predicate, which expresses that queens on row `pos` and `q` do no attack each other:

```
predicate consistent_row (board: array int) (pos: int) (q: int) =
  board[q] <> board[pos] /\
  board[q] - board[pos] <> pos - q /\
  board[pos] - board[q] <> pos - q
```

Then it is possible to define the consistency of row `pos` with respect to all previous rows:

```
predicate is_consistent (board: array int) (pos: int) =
  forall q:int. 0 <= q < pos -> consistent_row board pos q
```

Implementing a function which decides this predicate is another matter. In order for it to be efficient, we want to return `False` as soon as a queen attacks the queen on row `pos`. We use an exception for this purpose and it carries the row of the attacking queen:

```
exception Inconsistent int
```

The check is implemented by a function `check_is_consistent`, which takes the board and the row `pos` as arguments, and scans rows from 0 to `pos-1` looking for an attacking queen. As soon as one is found, the exception is raised. It is caught immediately outside the loop and `False` is returned. Whenever the end of the loop is reached, `True` is returned.

```
let check_is_consistent (board: array int) (pos: int) =
  { 0 <= pos < length board }
  try
    for q = 0 to pos - 1 do
      invariant {
        forall j:int. 0 <= j < q -> consistent_row board pos j
      }
      let bq   = board[q]   in
      let bpos = board[pos] in
      if bq        = bpos    then raise (Inconsistent q);
      if bq - bpos = pos - q then raise (Inconsistent q);
      if bpos - bq = pos - q then raise (Inconsistent q)
    done;
    True
  with Inconsistent q ->
    assert { not (consistent_row board pos q) };
    False
  end
  { result=True <-> is_consistent board pos }
```

The assertion in the exception handler is a cut for SMT solvers. This first part of the solution is given Figure 3.4.

We now proceed with the verification of the backtracking algorithm. The specification requires us to define the notion of solution, which is straightforward using the predicate `is_consistent` above. However, since the algorithm will try to complete a given partial solution, it is more convenient to define the notion of partial solution, up to a given row. It is even more convenient to split it in two predicates, one related to legal column values and another to consistency of rows:

```
predicate is_board (board: array int) (pos: int) =
  forall q:int. 0 <= q < pos -> 0 <= board[q] < length board
```

```
module NQueens
  use import int.Int
  use import module array.Array

  predicate consistent_row (board: array int) (pos: int) (q: int) =
    board[q] <> board[pos] /\
    board[q] - board[pos] <> pos - q /\
    board[pos] - board[q] <> pos - q

  predicate is_consistent (board: array int) (pos: int) =
    forall q:int. 0 <= q < pos -> consistent_row board pos q

  exception Inconsistent int

  let check_is_consistent (board: array int) (pos: int) =
    { 0 <= pos < length board }
    try
      for q = 0 to pos - 1 do
        invariant {
          forall j:int. 0 <= j < q -> consistent_row board pos j
        }
        let bq   = board[q]   in
        let bpos = board[pos] in
        if bq        = bpos    then raise (Inconsistent q);
        if bq - bpos = pos - q then raise (Inconsistent q);
        if bpos - bq = pos - q then raise (Inconsistent q)
      done;
      True
    with Inconsistent q ->
      assert { not (consistent_row board pos q) };
      False
    end
    { result=True <-> is_consistent board pos }
```

Figure 3.4: Solution for VSTTE'10 competition problem 4 (1/2).

```
predicate solution (board: array int) (pos: int) =
  is_board board pos /\
  forall q:int. 0 <= q < pos -> is_consistent board q
```

The algorithm will not mutate the partial solution it is given and, in case of a search failure, will claim that there is no solution extending this prefix. For this reason, we introduce a predicate comparing two chess boards for equality up to a given row:

```
predicate eq_board (b1 b2: array int) (pos: int) =
  forall q:int. 0 <= q < pos -> b1[q] = b2[q]
```

The search itself makes use of an exception to signal a successful search:

```
exception Solution
```

The backtracking code is a recursive function `bt_queens` which takes the chess board, its size, and the starting row for the search. The termination is ensured by the obvious variant `n-pos`.

```
let rec bt_queens (board: array int) (n: int) (pos: int)
                  variant {n-pos} =
```

The precondition relates `board`, `pos`, and `n` and requires `board` to be a solution up to `pos`:

```
{ length board = n /\ 0 <= pos <= n /\ solution board pos }
'Init:
```

We place a code mark `'Init` immediately after the precondition to be able to refer to the value of `board` in the pre-state. Whenever we reach the end of the chess board, we have found a solution and we signal it using exception `Solution`:

```
if pos = n then raise Solution;
```

Otherwise we scan all possible positions for the queen on row `pos` with a `for` loop:

```
for i = 0 to n - 1 do
```

The loop invariant states that we have not modified the solution prefix so far, and that we have not found any solution that would extend this prefix with a queen on row `pos` at a column below `i`:

```
    invariant {
      eq_board board (at board 'Init) pos /\
      forall b:array int. length b = n -> is_board b n ->
        eq_board board b pos -> 0 <= b[pos] < i ->
          not (solution b n) }
```

Then we assign column `i` to the queen on row `pos` and we check for a possible attack with `check_is_consistent`. If not, we call `bt_queens` recursively on the next row.

```
    board[pos] <- i;
    if check_is_consistent board pos then bt_queens board n (pos + 1)
  done
```

This completes the loop and function `bt_queens` as well. The postcondition is twofold: either the function exits normally and then there is no solution extending the prefix in `board`, which has not been modified; or the function raises `Solution` and we have a solution in `board`.

```
{ eq_board board (old board) pos /\
  forall b:array int. length b = n -> is_board b n ->
    eq_board board b pos -> not (solution b n) }
| Solution ->
{ solution board n }
```

Solving the puzzle is a simple call to `bt_queens`, starting the search on row 0. The postcondition is also twofold, as for `bt_queens`, yet slightly simpler.

```
let queens (board: array int) (n: int) =
{ 0 <= length board = n }
bt_queens board n 0
{ forall b:array int. length b = n -> is_board b n ->
                      not (solution b n) }
| Solution -> { solution board n }
```

This second part of the solution is given Figure 3.5. With the help of a few auxiliary lemmas — not given here but available from Why3's sources — the verification conditions are all discharged automatically, including the verification of the lemmas themselves.

3.5   Problem 5: Amortized Queue

The last problem consists in verifying the implementation of a well-known purely applicative data structure for queues. A queue is composed of two lists, *front* and *rear*. We push elements at the head of list *rear* and pop them off the head of list *front*. We maintain that the length of *front* is always greater or equal to the length of *rear*. (See for instance Okasaki's *Purely Functional Data Structures* [8] for more details.)

We have to implement operations `empty`, `head`, `tail`, and `enqueue` over this data type, to show that the invariant over lengths is maintained, and finally

> to show that a client invoking these operations observes an abstract queue given by a sequence.

In a new module, we import arithmetic and theory `list.ListRich`, a combo theory which imports all list operations we will require: length, reversal, and concatenation.

```
module AmortizedQueue
  use import int.Int
  use export list.ListRich
```

The queue data type is naturally introduced as a polymorphic record type. The two list lengths are explicitly stored, for better efficiency.

```
type queue 'a = {| front: list 'a; lenf: int;
                   rear : list 'a; lenr: int; |}
```

We start with the definition of the data type invariant, as a predicate `inv`. It makes use of the ability to chain several equalities and inequalities.

```
predicate is_board (board: array int) (pos: int) =
  forall q:int. 0 <= q < pos -> 0 <= board[q] < length board

predicate solution (board: array int) (pos: int) =
  is_board board pos /\
  forall q:int. 0 <= q < pos -> is_consistent board q

predicate eq_board (b1 b2: array int) (pos: int) =
  forall q:int. 0 <= q < pos -> b1[q] = b2[q]

exception Solution

let rec bt_queens (board: array int) (n: int) (pos: int)
                  variant { n - pos } =
  { length board = n /\ 0 <= pos <= n /\ solution board pos }
  'Init:
  if pos = n then raise Solution;
  for i = 0 to n - 1 do
    invariant {
      eq_board board (at board 'Init) pos /\
      forall b:array int. length b = n -> is_board b n ->
        eq_board board b pos -> 0 <= b[pos] < i -> not (solution b n) }
    board[pos] <- i;
    if check_is_consistent board pos then bt_queens board n (pos + 1)
  done
  { (* no solution *)
    eq_board board (old board) pos /\
    forall b:array int. length b = n -> is_board b n ->
      eq_board board b pos -> not (solution b n) }
  | Solution ->
  { (* a solution *)
    solution board n }

let queens (board: array int) (n: int) =
  { 0 <= length board = n }
  bt_queens board n 0
  { forall b:array int. length b = n -> is_board b n ->
                        not (solution b n) }
  | Solution -> { solution board n }
end
```

Figure 3.5: Solution for VSTTE'10 competition problem 4 (2/2).

```
predicate inv (q: queue 'a) =
  length q.front = q.lenf >= length q.rear = q.lenr
```

For the purpose of the specification, it is convenient to introduce a function `sequence` which builds the sequence of elements of a queue, that is the front list concatenated to reversed rear list.

```
function sequence (q: queue 'a) : list 'a =
  q.front ++ reverse q.rear
```

It is worth pointing out that this function will only be used in specifications. We start with the easiest operation: building the empty queue.

```
let empty () =
  {}
  {| front = Nil; lenf = 0; rear = Nil; lenr = 0 |} : queue 'a
  { inv result /\ sequence result = Nil }
```

The postcondition is twofold: the returned queue satisfies its invariant and represents the empty sequence. Note the cast to type `queue 'a`. It is required, for the type checker not to complain about an undefined type variable.

The next operation is `head`, which returns the first element from a given queue `q`. It naturally requires the queue to be non empty, which is conveniently expressed as `sequence q` not being `Nil`.

```
let head (q: queue 'a) =
  { inv q /\ sequence q <> Nil }
  match q.front with
    | Nil       -> absurd
    | Cons x _ -> x
  end
  { hd (sequence q) = Some result }
```

Note the presence of the invariant in the precondition, which is required to prove the absurdity of the first branch (if `q.front` is `Nil`, then so should be `sequence q`).

The next operation is `tail`, which removes the first element from a given queue. This is more subtle than `head`, since we may have to re-structure the queue to maintain the invariant. Since we will have to perform a similar operation when implementation operation `enqueue`, it is a good idea to introduce a smart constructor `create` which builds a queue from two lists, while ensuring the invariant. The list lengths are also passed as arguments, to avoid unnecessary computations.

```
let create (f: list 'a) (lf: int) (r: list 'a) (lr: int) =
  { lf = length f /\ lr = length r }
  if lf >= lr then
    {| front = f; lenf = lf; rear = r; lenr = lr |}
  else
    let f = f ++ reverse r in
    {| front = f; lenf = lf + lr; rear = Nil; lenr = 0 |}
  { inv result /\ sequence result = f ++ reverse r }
```

If the invariant already holds, it is simply a matter of building the record. Otherwise, we empty the rear list and build a new front list as the concatenation of list `f` and the reversal

of list `r`. The principle of this implementation is that the cost of this reversal will be amortized over all queue operations. Implementing function `tail` is now straightforward and follows the structure of function `head`.

```
let tail (q: queue 'a) =
  { inv q /\ sequence q <> Nil }
  match q.front with
    | Nil      -> absurd
    | Cons _ r -> create r (q.lenf - 1) q.rear q.lenr
  end
  { inv result /\ tl (sequence q) = Some (sequence result) }
```

The last operation is `enqueue`, which pushes a new element in a given queue. Reusing the smart constructor `create` makes it a one line code.

```
let enqueue (x: 'a) (q: queue 'a) =
  { inv q }
  create q.front q.lenf (Cons x q.rear) (q.lenr + 1)
  { inv result /\ sequence result = sequence q ++ Cons x Nil }
```

The code is given Figure 3.6. The verification conditions are all discharged automatically.

```
module AmortizedQueue
  use import int.Int
  use export list.ListRich

  type queue 'a = {| front: list 'a; lenf: int;
                     rear : list 'a; lenr: int; |}

  predicate inv (q: queue 'a) =
    length q.front = q.lenf >= length q.rear = q.lenr

  function sequence (q: queue 'a) : list 'a = q.front ++ reverse q.rear

  let empty () =
    {}
    {| front = Nil; lenf = 0; rear = Nil; lenr = 0 |} : queue 'a
    { inv result /\ sequence result = Nil }

  let head (q: queue 'a) =
    { inv q /\ sequence q <> Nil }
    match q.front with
      | Nil      -> absurd
      | Cons x _ -> x
    end
    { hd (sequence q) = Some result }

  let create (f: list 'a) (lf: int) (r: list 'a) (lr: int) =
    { lf = length f /\ lr = length r }
    if lf >= lr then
      {| front = f; lenf = lf; rear = r; lenr = lr |}
    else
      let f = f ++ reverse r in
      {| front = f; lenf = lf + lr; rear = Nil; lenr = 0 |}
    { inv result /\ sequence result = f ++ reverse r }

  let tail (q: queue 'a) =
    { inv q /\ sequence q <> Nil }
    match q.front with
      | Nil      -> absurd
      | Cons _ r -> create r (q.lenf - 1) q.rear q.lenr
    end
    { inv result /\ tl (sequence q) = Some (sequence result) }

  let enqueue (x: 'a) (q: queue 'a) =
    { inv q }
    create q.front q.lenf (Cons x q.rear) (q.lenr + 1)
    { inv result /\ sequence result = sequence q ++ Cons x Nil }
end
```

Figure 3.6: Solution for VSTTE'10 competition problem 5.

Chapter 4

The **Why3** API

This chapter is a tutorial for the users who want to link their own OCaml code with the Why3 library. We progressively introduce the way one can use the library to build terms, formulas, theories, proof tasks, call external provers on tasks, and apply transformations on tasks. The complete documentation for API calls is given at URL http://why3.lri.fr/api/.

We assume the reader has a fair knowledge of the OCaml language. Notice that the Why3 library must be installed, see Section 5.3. The OCaml code given below is available in the source distribution as examples/use_api.ml.

4.1 Building Propositional Formulas

The first step is to know how to build propositional formulas. The module `Term` gives a few functions for building these. Here is a piece of OCaml code for building the formula *true ∨ false*.

```
(* opening the Why3 library *)
open Why3

(* a ground propositional goal: true or false *)
let fmla_true : Term.term = Term.t_true
let fmla_false : Term.term = Term.t_false
let fmla1 : Term.term = Term.t_or fmla_true fmla_false
```

The library uses the common type `term` both for terms (i.e. expressions that produce a value of some particular type) and formulas (i.e. boolean-valued expressions).

Such a formula can be printed using the module `Pretty` providing pretty-printers.

```
(* printing it *)
open Format
let () = printf "@[formula 1 is:@ %a@]@." Pretty.print_term fmla1
```

Assuming the lines above are written in a file `f.ml`, it can be compiled using

```
ocamlc str.cma unix.cma nums.cma dynlink.cma \
       -I +ocamlgraph -I +why3 graph.cma why.cma f.ml -o f
```

Running the generated executable `f` results in the following output.

```
formula 1 is: true \/ false
```

Let's now build a formula with propositional variables: $A \land B \to A$. Propositional variables must be declared first before using them in formulas. This is done as follows.

```
let prop_var_A : Term.lsymbol =
  Term.create_psymbol (Ident.id_fresh "A") []
let prop_var_B : Term.lsymbol =
  Term.create_psymbol (Ident.id_fresh "B") []
```

The type `lsymbol` is the type of function and predicate symbols (which we call logic symbols for brevity). Then the atoms A and B must be built by the general function for applying a predicate symbol to a list of terms. Here we just need the empty list of arguments.

```
let atom_A : Term.term = Term.ps_app prop_var_A []
let atom_B : Term.term = Term.ps_app prop_var_B []
let fmla2 : Term.term =
  Term.t_implies (Term.t_and atom_A atom_B) atom_A
let () = printf "@[formula 2 is:@ %a@]@." Pretty.print_term fmla2
```

As expected, the output is as follows.

```
formula 2 is: A /\ B -> A
```

Notice that the concrete syntax of Why3 forbids function and predicate names to start with a capital letter (except for the algebraic type constructors which must start with one). This constraint is not enforced when building those directly using library calls.

4.2   Building Tasks

Let's see how we can call a prover to prove a formula. As said in previous chapters, a prover must be given a task, so we need to build tasks from our formulas. Task can be build incrementally from an empty task by adding declaration to it, using the functions `add_*_decl` of module `Task`. For the formula $true \lor false$ above, this is done as follows.

```
let task1 : Task.task = None (* empty task *)
let goal_id1 : Decl.prsymbol =
  Decl.create_prsymbol (Ident.id_fresh "goal1")
let task1 : Task.task =
  Task.add_prop_decl task1 Decl.Pgoal goal_id1 fmla1
```

To make the formula a goal, we must give a name to it, here "goal1". A goal name has type `prsymbol`, for identifiers denoting propositions in a theory or a task. Notice again that the concrete syntax of Why3 requires these symbols to be capitalized, but it is not mandatory when using the library. The second argument of `add_prop_decl` is the kind of the proposition: `Paxiom`, `Plemma` or `Pgoal` (notice, however, that lemmas are not allowed in tasks and can only be used in theories).

Once a task is built, it can be printed.

```
(* printing the task *)
let () = printf "@[task 1 is:@\n%a@]@." Pretty.print_task task1
```

The task for our second formula is a bit more complex to build, because the variables A and B must be added as abstract (i.e. not defined) propositional symbols in the task.

```
(* task for formula 2 *)
let task2 = None
let task2 = Task.add_param_decl task2 prop_var_A
let task2 = Task.add_param_decl task2 prop_var_B
let goal_id2 = Decl.create_prsymbol (Ident.id_fresh "goal2")
let task2 = Task.add_prop_decl task2 Decl.Pgoal goal_id2 fmla2
let () = printf "@[task 2 is:@\n%a@]@." Pretty.print_task task2
```

Execution of our OCaml program now outputs:

```
task 1 is:
theory Task
  goal Goal1 : true \/ false
end

task 2 is:
theory Task
  predicate A

  predicate B

  goal Goal2 : A /\ B -> A
end
```

4.3 Calling External Provers

To call an external prover, we need to access the Why configuration file `why3.conf`, as it was built using the `why3config` command line tool or the Detect Provers menu of the graphical IDE. The following API calls allow to access the content of this configuration file.

```
(* reads the config file *)
let config : Whyconf.config = Whyconf.read_config None
(* the [main] section of the config file *)
let main : Whyconf.main = Whyconf.get_main config
(* all the provers detected, from the config file *)
let provers : Whyconf.config_prover Whyconf.Mprover.t =
  Whyconf.get_provers config
```

The type `'a Whyconf.Mprover.t` is a map indexed by provers. A provers is a record with a name, a version and an alternative description (if someone want to compare different command line options of the same provers for example). It's definition is in the module `Whyconf` :

```
type prover =
    { prover_name : string; (* "Alt-Ergo" *)
      prover_version : string; (* "2.95" *)
      prover_altern : string; (* "special" *)
    }
```

The map `provers` provides the set of existing provers. In the following, we directly attempt to access the prover Alt-Ergo, which is known to be identified with id `"alt-ergo"`.

```
(* the [prover alt-ergo] section of the config file *)
let alt_ergo : Whyconf.config_prover =
  try
    Whyconf.prover_by_id config "alt-ergo"
  with Whyconf.ProverNotFound _ ->
    eprintf "Prover alt-ergo not installed or not configured@.";
    exit 0
```

We could also get a specific version with :

```
let alt_ergo : Whyconf.config_prover =
  try
    let prover = {Whyconf.prover_name = "Alt-Ergo";
                  prover_version = "0.92.3";
                  prover_altern = ""} in
    Whyconf.Mprover.find prover provers
  with Not_found ->
    eprintf "Prover alt-ergo not installed or not configured@.";
    exit 0
```

The next step is to obtain the driver associated to this prover. A driver typically depends on the standard theories so these should be loaded first.

```
(* builds the environment from the [loadpath] *)
let env : Env.env =
  Env.create_env (Whyconf.loadpath main)
(* loading the Alt-Ergo driver *)
let alt_ergo_driver : Driver.driver =
  try
    Driver.load_driver env alt_ergo.Whyconf.driver
  with e ->
    eprintf "Failed to load driver for alt-ergo: %a@."
      Exn_printer.exn_printer e;
    exit 1
```

We are now ready to call the prover on the tasks. This is done by a function call that launches the external executable and waits for its termination. Here is a simple way to proceed:

```
(* calls Alt-Ergo *)
let result1 : Call_provers.prover_result =
  Call_provers.wait_on_call
    (Driver.prove_task ~command:alt_ergo.Whyconf.command
    alt_ergo_driver task1 ()) ()
(* prints Alt-Ergo answer *)
let () = printf "@[On task 1, alt-ergo answers %a@]@."
  Call_provers.print_prover_result result1
```

This way to call a prover is in general too naive, since it may never return if the prover runs without time limit. The function `prove_task` has two optional parameters: `timelimit` is the maximum allowed running time in seconds, and `memlimit` is the maximum allowed memory in megabytes. The type `prover_result` is a record with three fields:

- `pr_answer`: the prover answer, explained below;

- `pr_output`: the output of the prover, i.e. both standard output and the standard error of the process (a redirection in `why3.conf` is required);

- `pr_time` : the time taken by the prover, in seconds.

A `pr_answer` is a sum of several kind of answers:

- `Valid`: the task is valid according to the prover.

- `Invalid`: the task is invalid.

- `Timeout`: the prover exceeds the time or memory limit.

- `Unknown` *msg*: the prover can't determine if the task is valid; the string parameter *msg* indicates some extra information.

- `Failure` *msg*: the prover reports a failure, i.e. it was unable to read correctly its input task.

- `HighFailure`: an error occurred while trying to call the prover, or the prover answer was not understood (i.e. none of the given regular expressions in the driver file matches the output of the prover).

Here is thus another way of calling the Alt-Ergo prover, on our second task.

```
let result2 : Call_provers.prover_result =
  Call_provers.wait_on_call
   (Driver.prove_task ~command:alt_ergo.Whyconf.command
   ~timelimit:10
   alt_ergo_driver task2 ()) ()

let () =
  printf "@[On task 2, alt-ergo answers %a in %5.2f seconds@."
    Call_provers.print_prover_answer
    result1.Call_provers.pr_answer
    result1.Call_provers.pr_time
```

The output of our program is now as follows.

```
On task 1, alt-ergo answers Valid (0.01s)
On task 2, alt-ergo answers Valid in  0.01 seconds
```

4.4   Building Terms

An important feature of the functions for building terms and formulas is that they statically guarantee that only well-typed terms can be constructed.

Here is the way we build the formula $2 + 2 = 4$. The main difficulty is to access the internal identifier for addition: it must be retrieved from the standard theory `Int` of the file `int.why` (see Chap 8).

```
let two : Term.term = Term.t_const (Term.ConstInt "2")
let four : Term.term = Term.t_const (Term.ConstInt "4")
let int_theory : Theory.theory =
  Env.find_theory env ["int"] "Int"
let plus_symbol : Term.lsymbol =
  Theory.ns_find_ls int_theory.Theory.th_export ["infix +"]
let two_plus_two : Term.term =
  Term.t_app_infer plus_symbol [two;two]
let fmla3 : Term.term = Term.t_equ two_plus_two four
```

An important point to notice as that when building the application of + to the arguments, it is checked that the types are correct. Indeed the constructor `t_app_infer` infers the type of the resulting term. One could also provide the expected type as follows.

```
let two_plus_two : Term.term =
  Term.fs_app plus_symbol [two;two] Ty.ty_int
```

When building a task with this formula, we need to declare that we use theory `Int`:

```
let task3 = None
let task3 = Task.use_export task3 int_theory
let goal_id3 = Decl.create_prsymbol (Ident.id_fresh "goal3")
let task3 = Task.add_prop_decl task3 Decl.Pgoal goal_id3 fmla3
```

4.5   Building Quantified Formulas

To illustrate how to build quantified formulas, let us consider the formula $\forall x : int.x*x \geq 0$. The first step is to obtain the symbols from `Int`.

```
let zero : Term.term = Term.t_const (Term.ConstInt "0")
let mult_symbol : Term.lsymbol =
  Theory.ns_find_ls int_theory.Theory.th_export ["infix *"]
let ge_symbol : Term.lsymbol =
  Theory.ns_find_ls int_theory.Theory.th_export ["infix >="]
```

The next step is to introduce the variable x with the type int.

```
let var_x : Term.vsymbol =
  Term.create_vsymbol (Ident.id_fresh "x") Ty.ty_int
```

The formula $x * x \geq 0$ is obtained as in the previous example.

```
let x : Term.term = Term.t_var var_x
let x_times_x : Term.term = Term.t_app_infer mult_symbol [x;x]
let fmla4_aux : Term.term = Term.ps_app ge_symbol [x_times_x;zero]
```

To quantify on x, we use the appropriate smart constructor as follows.

```
let fmla4 : Term.term = Term.t_forall_close [var_x] [] fmla4_aux
```

4.6   Building Theories

[TO BE COMPLETED]

4.7 Applying transformations

[TO BE COMPLETED]

4.8 Writing new functions on term

[TO BE COMPLETED]

Part II

Reference Manual

Chapter 5

Compilation, Installation

In short, installation proceeds as follows.

```
./configure
make
make install (as super-user)
```

5.1 Installation Instructions from Source Distribution

After unpacking the distribution, go to the newly created directory `why3-0.72`. Compilation must start with a configuration phase which is run as

```
./configure
```

This analyzes your current configuration and checks if requirements hold. Compilation requires:

- The Objective Caml compiler, version 3.11.2 or higher. It is available as a binary package for most Unix distributions. For Debian-based Linux distributions, you can install the packages

  ```
  ocaml ocaml-native-compilers
  ```

  It is also installable from sources, downloadable from the site `http://caml.inria.fr/ocaml/`

For some of the Why3 tools, additional OCaml libraries are needed:

- For the graphical interface: the Lablgtk2 library for OCaml bindings of the gtk2 graphical library. For Debian-based Linux distributions, you can install the packages

  ```
  liblablgtk2-ocaml-dev liblablgtksourceview2-ocaml-dev
  ```

  It is also installable from sources, available from the site `http://wwwfun.kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp/soft/olabl/lablgtk.html`

- For `why3bench`: The OCaml bindings of the sqlite3 library. For Debian-based Linux distributions, you can install the package

  ```
  libsqlite3-ocaml-dev
  ```

51

It is also installable from sources, available from the site http://ocaml.info/home/ocaml_sources.html#ocaml-sqlite3

If you want to use the specific Coq features, *i.e.* the Coq tactic 10 and Coq realizations 9, then Coq has to be installed before Why3. Look at the summary printed at the end of the configuration script to check if Coq has been detected properly.

When configuration is finished, you can compile Why3.

```
make
```

Installation is performed (as super-user if needed) using

```
make install
```

Installation can be tested as follows:

1. install some external provers (see Section 5.4 below)

2. run `why3config --detect`

3. run some examples from the distribution, *e.g.* you should obtain the following:

   ```
   $ cd examples
   $ why3replayer scottish-private-club
   Info: found directory 'scottish-private-club' for the project
   Opening session... done
   Progress: 4/4
     1/1
   Everything OK.
   $ why3replayer programs/same_fringe
   Info: found directory 'programs/same_fringe' for the project
   Opening session... done
   Progress: 12/12
     3/3
   Everything OK.
   ```

5.2   Local use, without installation

It is not mandatory to install Why3 into system directories. Why3 can be configured and compiled for local use as follows:

```
./configure --enable-local
make
```

The Why3 executables are then available in the subdirectory `bin/`. This directory can be added in your `PATH`.

5.3   Installation of the **Why3** Library

By default, the Why3 library is not installed. It can be installed using

```
make byte opt
make install_lib (as super-user)
```

5.4 Installation of External Provers

Why3 can use a wide range of external theorem provers. These need to be installed separately, and then Why3 needs to be configured to use them. There is no need to install these provers before compiling and installing Why.

For installation of external provers, please refer to the specific section about provers on the Web page <http://why3.lri.fr/>.

For configuring Why3 to use the provers, follow instructions given in Section 6.1.

5.5 Multiple Versions of the Same Prover

Since version 0.72, Why3 is able to use several versions of the same prover, *e.g.* it can use both CVC3 2.2 and CVC3 2.4.1 at the same time. The automatic detection of provers looks for typical names for their executable command, *e.g.* `cvc3` for CVC3. However, if you install several version of the same prover it is likely that you would use specialized executable names, such as `cvc3-2.2` or `cvc3-2.4.1`. To allow the Why3 detection process to recognize these, you can use the option `--add-prover` to `why3config`, *e.g.*

```
why3config --detect --add-prover cvc3-2.4 /usr/local/bin/cvc3-2.4.1
```

the first argument (here `cvc3-2.4`) must be one of the class of provers known in the file `provers-detection-data.conf` typically located in `/usr/local/share/why3` after installation. See Appendix 11.2 for details.

5.6 Session Update after Prover Upgrade

If you happen to upgrade a prover, *e.g.* installing CVC3 2.4.1 in place of CVC3 2.2, then the proof sessions formerly recorded will still refer to the old version of the prover. If you open one such a session with the GUI, and replay the proofs, you will be asked to choose between 3 options:

- Keep the former proofs as they are. They will be marked as "archived".

- Upgrade the former proofs to an installed prover (typically a upgraded version). The corresponding proof attempts will become attached to this new prover, and marked as obsolete, to make their replay mandatory.

- Copy the former proofs to an installed prover. This is a combination of the actions above: each proof attempt is duplicated, one with the former prover marked as archived, and one for the new prover marked as obsolete.

Notice that if the prover under consideration is an interactive one, then the copy option will duplicate also the edited proof scripts, whereas the upgrade-without-archive option will just reuse the former proof scripts.

Your choice between the three options above will be recorded, one for each prover, in the Why3 configuration file. Within the GUI, you can discard these choices via the Preferences dialog.

Outside the GUI, the prover upgrades are handled as follows. The `why3replayer` tool will just ignore proof attempts marked as archived. Conversely, a non-archived proof attempt with a non-existent prover will be reported as a replay failure. The tool `why3session` allows you to perform move or copy operations on proof attempts in a fine-grain way, using filters, as detailed in Section 6.7.

Chapter 6

Reference Manuals for the **Why3** Tools

This chapter details the usage of each of the command-line tools provided by the Why3 environment. These are as follows:

why3config tool for managing the user's configuration, including the detection of installed provers.

why3 reads Why3 input files and call provers, on the command-line

why3ide graphical interface to display goals, run provers and transformations on them.

why3ml as **why3** but reads Why3ML programs instead

why3replayer replay the proofs stored in a session, for regression test purposes

why3bench produces benchmarks.

why3session dumps various informations from a proof session, and possibly modifies the session.

why3doc produces HTML versions of Why3 source codes.

All these tools accept a common subset of command-line options. In particular the option **-help** which displays the usage and options.

-L d adds d in the load path, to search for theories.

--library d same as **-L**

-I d same as **-L** (deprecated)

-C $file$ reads configuration from $file$

--config $file$ same as **-C**

--extra-config $file$ reads additional configuration from $file$

--list-debug-flags lists known debug flags

--debug-all sets all debug flags (except flags which change the behavior)

--debug $flag$ set a debug flag

-v print version information

-help displays the usage and the exact list of options for the given tool

6.1   The `why3config` command-line tool

Why3 must be configured to access external provers. Typically, this is done by running the command line tool `why3config`. This must be redone each time a new prover is installed.

The provers which Why3 attempts to detect are described in the readable configuration file `provers-detection-data.conf` of the Why3 data directory (*e.g.* `/usr/local/share/why3`). Advanced users may try to modify this file to add support for detection of other provers. (In that case, please consider submitting a new prover configuration on the bug tracking system).

The result of provers detection is stored in the user's configuration file (`~/.why3.conf` or, in the case of local installation, `why3.conf` in Why3 sources top directory). This file is also human-readable, and advanced users may modify it in order to experiment with different ways of calling provers, *e.g.* different versions of the same prover, or with different options.

`why3config` also detects the plugins installed in the Why3 plugins directory (*e.g.* `/usr/local/lib/why3/plugins`). A plugin must register itself as a parser, a transformation or a printer, as explained in the corresponding section.

If the user's configuration file is already present, `why3config` will only reset unset variables to default value, but will not try to detect provers. The option `--detect-provers` should be used to force Why3 to detect again the available provers and to replace them in the configuration file. The option `--detect-plugins` will do the same for plugins.

If a supported prover is installed under a name that is not automatically recognized by `why3config`, the option `--add-prover` will add a specified binary to the configuration. For example, an Alt-Ergo executable `/home/me/bin/alt-ergo-trunc` can be added as follows:

```
why3config --add-prover alt-ergo /home/me/bin/alt-ergo-trunc
```

As the first argument, one should put a prover identification string. The list of known prover identifiers can be obtained by the option `--list-prover-ids`.

6.2   The `why3` command-line tool

Why3 is primarily used to call provers on goals contained in an input file. By default, such a file must be written in Why3 language and have the extension `.why`. However, a dynamically loaded plugin can register a parser for some other format of logical problems, *e.g.* TPTP or SMTlib.

The `why3` tool executes the following steps:

1. Parse the command line and report errors if needed.

2. Read the configuration file using the priority defined in Section 11.3.

3. Load the plugins mentioned in the configuration. It will not stop if some plugin fails to load.

4. Parse and typecheck the given files using the correct parser in order to obtain a set of Why3 theories for each file. It uses the filename extension or the `--format` option

to choose among the available parsers. The `--list-format` option gives the list of registered parsers.

5. Extract the selected goals inside each of the selected theories into tasks. The goals and theories are selected using the options `-G/--goal` and `-T/--theory`. The option `-T/--theory` applies to the last file appearing on the command line, the option `-G/--goal` applies to the last theory appearing on the command line. If no theories are selected in a file, then every theory is considered as selected. If no goals are selected in a theory, then every goal is considered as selected.

6. Apply the transformation requested with `-a/--apply-transform` in their order of appearance on the command line. `--list-transforms` list the known transformations, plugins can add more of them.

7. Apply the driver selected with the `-D/--driver` option, or the driver of the prover selected with `-P/--prover` option. `--list-provers` lists the known provers, *i.e.* the ones that appear in the configuration file.

8. If the option `-P/--prover` is given, call the selected prover on each generated task and print the results. If the option `-D/--driver` is given, print each generated task using the format specified in the selected driver.

The provers can give the following output:

Valid the goal is proved in the given context,

Unknown the prover has stopped its search,

Timeout the prover has reached the time limit,

Failure an error has occurred,

Invalid the prover knows the goal cannot be proved.

The option `--bisect` changes the behavior of why3. With this option, `-P/--prover` and `-o/--output` must be given and a valid goal must be selected. The last step executed by why3 is replaced by computing a minimal set (in the great majority of the case) of declarations that still prove the goal. Currently it does not use any information provided by the prover, it call the prover multiple times with reduced context. The minimal set of declarations is then written in the prover syntax into a file located in the directory given to the `-o/--output` option.

6.3   The `why3ide` GUI

The basic usage of the GUI is described by the tutorial of Section 1.2. There are no specific command-line options, apart from the common options detailed in introduction to this chapter. We describe the actions of the various menus and buttons of the interface.

6.3.1   Left toolbar actions

Context The context in which the other tools below will apply. If "only unproved goals" is selected, no action will ever be applied to an already proved goal. If "all goals", then actions are performed even if the goal is already proved. The second choice allows to compare provers on the same goal.

Provers To each detected prover corresponds to a button in this prover framed box. Clicking on this button starts the prover on the selected goal(s).

Split This splits the current goal into subgoals if it is a conjunction of two or more goals.

Inline If the goal is headed by a defined predicate symbol, expands it with this definition.

Edit Start an editor on the selected task.

> For automatic provers, this allows to see the file sent to the prover.
>
> For interactive provers, this also allows to add or modify the corresponding proof script. The modifications are saved, and can be retrieved later even if the goal was modified.

Replay Replay all obsolete proofs

> If "only unproved goals" is selected, only formerly successful proofs are rerun. If "all goals", then all obsolete proofs are rerun, successful or not.

Remove Removes a proof attempt or a transformation.

Clean Removes any unsuccessful proof attempt for which there is another successful proof attempt for the same goal

Interrupt Cancels all the proof attempts currently scheduled but not yet started.

6.3.2   Menus

Menu File

> **Add File** adds a file in the GUI.
>
> **Preferences** opens a window for modifying preferred configuration parameters, see details below.
>
> **Reload** reloads the input files from disk, and update the session state accordingly.
>
> **Save session** saves current session state on disk. The policy to decide when to save the session is configurable, as described in the preferences below.
>
> **Quit** exits the GUI.

Menu View

> **Expand All** expands all the rows of the tree view.
>
> **Collapse proved goals** closes all the rows of the tree view which are proved.

Menu Tools A copy of the tools already available in the left toolbar, plus:

> **Mark as obsolete** marks all the proof as obsolete. This allows to replay every proofs.

Menu Help A very short online help, and some information about this software.

6.3.3 Preferences Dialog

The preferences dialog allows you to customize various settings. These are groups together under several tabs

General Settings tab This tab allows one to set variours general settings.

- the time limit given to provers, in seconds
- the maximal number of simultaneous provers allowed to run in parallel.
- A few display settings:
  - introduce premises: if selected, the goal of the task shownin top-right window is displayed after introduction of universally quantified variables and implications, *e.g.* a goal of the form $\forall x : t.P \rightarrow Q$ is displayed as

  $$\frac{\begin{array}{c} x : t \\ H : P \end{array}}{Q}$$

  - show labels in formulas
  - show source locations in formulas
  - show time limit for each proof
- the policy for saving session:
  - always save on exit (default): the current state of the proof session is saving on exit
  - never save on exit: the current state of the session is never save automatically, you must use menu File/Save session to save when wanted
  - ask whether to save: on exit, a popup window ask whether you want to save or not.

Editors tab This tab allows one to customize the use of external editors for proof scripts.

- The default editor to use when the Edit button is pressed.
- For each installed prover, a specific editor can be selected to override the default. Typically if you install the Coq prover, then the editor to use will be set to "CoqIDE" by default, and this dialog allows you the select the Emacs editor, and its Proof General mode (http://proofgeneral.inf.ed.ac.uk/).

Provers tab Here you can select or deselect which of the installed provers you want to see as buttons in the left toolbar

Uninstalled Provers tab Here are shown all the decision previously taken for uninstalled provers, as described in Section 5.6. You can remove any recorded decision by clicking on it.

6.4 The `why3ml` tool

The `why3ml` tool is a layer on top of the Why3 library for generating verification conditions from Why3ML programs. The command-line of `why3ml` is identical to that of `why3`, but also accepts files with extension `.mlw` as input files containing Why3ML modules (see Chapter 3 and Section 7.3). Modules are turned into theories containing verification

conditions as goals, and then `why3ml` behaves exactly as `why3` for the remaining of the process. Note that files with extension `.mlw` can also be loaded in `why3ide`.

For those who want to experiment with Why3ML, many examples are provided in `examples/programs`.

6.5   The `why3bench` tool

The `why3bench` tool adds a scheduler on top of the Why3 library. `why3bench` is designed to compare various components of automatic proofs: automatic provers, transformations, definitions of a theory. For that goal it tries to prove predefined goals using each component to compare. `why3bench` allows to output the comparison in various formats:

- csv: the simpler and more informative format, the results are represented in an array, the rows corresponds to the compared components, the columns correspond to the result (Valid, Unknown, Timeout, Failure, Invalid) and the CPU time taken in seconds.

- average: summarizes the number of the five different answers for each component. It also gives the average time taken.

- timeline: for each component it gives the number of valid goals along the time (10 slices between 0 and the longest time a component takes to prove a goal)

The compared components can be defined in an *rc-file*, `examples/programs/prgbench.rc` is such an example. More generally a bench configuration file:

```
[probs "myprobs"]
   file = "examples/monbut.why" #relatives to the rc file
   file = "examples/monprogram.mlw"
   theory = "monprogram.T"
   goal = "monbut.T.G"

   transform = "split_goal" #applied in this order
   transform = "..."
   transform = "..."

[tools "mytools"]
   prover = cvc3
   prover = altergo
   #or only one
   driver = "..."
   command = "..."

   loadpath = "..." #added to the one in why3.conf
   loadpath = "..."

   timelimit = 30
   memlimit = 300

   use = "toto.T" #use the theory toto (allow to add metas)
```

```
    transform = "simplify_array" #only 1 to 1 transformation

[bench "mybench"]
    tools = "mytools"
    tools = ...
    probs = "myprobs"
    probs = ...
    timeline = "prgbench.time"
    average = "prgbench.avg"
    csv = "prgbench.csv"
```

Such a file can define three families `tools`, `probs`, `bench`. A `tools` section defines a set of components to compare. A `probs` section defines a set of goals on which to compare some components. A `bench` section defines which components to compare using which goals. It refers by name to some sections `tools` and `probs` defined in the same file. The order of the definitions is irrelevant. Notice that one can use `loadpath` in a `tools` section to compare different axiomatizations.

One can run all the bench given in one bench configuration file with `why3bench`:

```
why3bench -B path_to_my_bench.rc
```

6.6   The `why3replayer` tool

The purpose of the `why3replayer` tool is to execute the proofs stored in a Why3 session file, as the one produced by the IDE. Its main goal is to play non-regression tests, *e.g.* you can find in `examples/regtests.sh` a script that runs regression tests on all the examples.

The tool is invoked in a terminal or a script using

```
why3replayer [options] <project directory>
```

The session file `why3session.xml` stored in the given directory is loaded and all the proofs it contains are rerun. Then, all the differences between the information stored in the session file and the new run are shown.

Nothing is shown when there is no change in the results, whether the considered goal is proved or not. When all the proof are done, a summary of what is proved or not is displayed using a tree-shape pretty print, similar to the IDE tree view after doing "Collapse proved goals". In other words, when a goal, a theory, or a file is fully proved, the subtree is not shown.

Obsolete proofs   When some proofs stored in the session file are obsolete, the replay is run anyway, as with the replay button in the IDE. Then, the session file will be updated if both

- all the replayed proof attempts give the same result as what is stored in the session

- every goals are proved.

In other cases, you can use the IDE to update the session, or use the option `-force` described below.

Exit code and options

- The exit code is 0 if no difference was detected, 1 if there was. Other exit codes mean some failure in running the replay.

- Option `-s` suppresses the output of the final tree view.

- Option `-I <path>` adds `<path>` to the loadpath.

- Option `-force` enforces saving the session, if all proof attempts replayed correctly, even if some goals are not proved.

- Option `-smoke-detector {none|top|deep}` tries to detect if the context is self-contradicting.

Smoke Detector   The smoke detector tries to detect if the context is self-contradicting and, thus, that anything can be proved in this context. The smoke detector can't be run on outdated session and does not modify the session. It has three possible configurations:

- `none`: Do not run the smoke detector.

- `top`: The negation of each proved goal is sent with the same timeout to the prover that proved the original goal.

  ```
  Goal G : forall x:int. q x -> (p1 x \/ p2 x)
  ```

  becomes

  ```
  Goal G : ~ (forall x:int. q x -> (p1 x \/ p2 x))
  ```

- `deep`: This is the same technique as `top` but the negation is pushed under the universal quantification (without changing them) and under the implication. The previous example becomes

  ```
  Goal G : forall x:int. q x /\ ~ (p1 x \/ p2 x)
  ```

The name of the goals that triggered the smoke detector are printed:

```
goal 'G', prover 'Alt-Ergo 0.93.1': Smoke detected!!!
```

Moreover `Smoke detected` (exit code 1) is printed at the end if the smoke detector has been triggered, or `No smoke detected` (exit code 0) otherwise.

6.7   The `why3session` tool

The program `why3session` allows to extract informations from proof sessions on the command line, or even modify them to some extent. The invocation of this program is done under the form

```
why3session <command> [options] <session directories>
```

The available commands are the following:

`info` prints informations and statistics about sessions.

latex outputs session contents in LaTeX format.

html outputs session contents in HTML format.

mod modifies some of the proofs, selected by a filter.

copy duplicates some of the proofs, selected by a filter.

copy-archive same as copy but also archives the original proofs.

rm remove some of the proofs, selected by a filter.

The first three commands do not modify the sessions, whereas the four last modify them. Only the proof attempts recorded are modified. No prover is called on the modified or created proof attempts, and consequently the proof status is always marked as obsolete.

All the commands above share the following common set of options: common options:

-C <file> reads configuration from `file`

--config same as -C

--extra-config <file> reads additional configuration from `<file>`

-L <dir> adds `<dir>` to the library search path

--library same as -L

-v prints version information

--list-debug-flags lists known debug flags

--debug-all sets all debug flags (except flags which change the behavior)

--debug <flag> sets a debug flag

6.7.1 Command `info`

The command `why3session info` reports various informations about the session, depending on the following specific options.

--provers prints the provers that appear inside the session, one by line.

--edited-files prints all the files that appear in the session as edited proofs.

--stats prints various proofs statistics, as detailed below.

--tree prints the structure of the session as a tree in ASCII, as detailed below.

--print0 separates the results of the options `provers` and `--edited-files` by the character number 0 instead of end of line `\n`. That allows you to safely use (even if the filename contains space or carriage return) the result with other commands. For example you can count the number of proof line in all the coq edited files in a session with:

```
why3session info --edited-files vstte12_bfs --print0 | xargs -0 coqwc
```

or you can add all the edited files in your favorite repository with:

```
why3session info --edited-files --print0 vstte12_bfs.mlw | \
    xargs -0 git add
```

Session Tree   The hierarchical structure of the session is printed as a tree in ASCII. The files, theories, goals are marked with a question mark `?`, if they are not verified. A proof is usually said to be verified if the proof result is `valid` and the proof is not obsolete. However here specially we separate these two properties. On the one hand if the proof suffers from an internal failure we mark it with an exclamation mark `!`, otherwise if it is not valid we mark it with a question mark `?`, finally if it is valid we add nothing. On the other hand if the proof is obsolete we mark it with an `O` and if it is archived we mark it with an `A`.

For example, here are the session tree produced on the "hello proof" example of Section 1.

```
hello_proof---../hello_proof.why?---HelloProof?-+-G3-+-Alt-Ergo (0.93)
                                                |    '-Simplify (1.5.4)?
                                                |-G2?-+-split_goal?-+-G2.1-+-Alt-Ergo (0.93)
                                                |     |             |      '-Simplify (1.5.4)
                                                |     |             '-G2.0?-+-Alt-Ergo (0.93)?
                                                |     |                     |-Simplify (1.5.4)?
                                                |     |                     '-Coq (8.3pl3)?
                                                |     |-Alt-Ergo (0.93)?
                                                |     '-Simplify (1.5.4)?
                                                '-G1---Simplify (1.5.4)
```

Session Statistics   The proof statistics given by option `--stats` are as follows:

- Number of goals: give both the total number of goals, and the number of those that are proved (possibly after a transformation).

- Goals not proved: list of goals of the session which are not proved by any prover, even after a transformation.

- Goals proved by only one prover: the goals for which there is only one successful proof. For each of these, the prover which was successful is printed. This also includes the sub-goals generated by transformations.

- Statistics per prover: for each of the prover used in the session, the number of proved goals is given. This also includes the sub-goals generated by transformations. The respective minimum, maximum and average time and on average running time is shown. Beware that these time data are computed on the goals *where the prover was successfull*.

For example, here are the session statistics produced on the "hello proof" example of Section 1.

```
== Number of goals ==
  total: 5  proved: 3

== Goals not proved ==
  +-- file ../hello_proof.why
    +-- theory HelloProof
      +-- goal G2
        +-- transformation split_goal
          +-- goal G2.0

== Goals proved by only one prover ==
  +-- file ../hello_proof.why
    +-- theory HelloProof
      +-- goal G1: Simplify (1.5.4) (0.01)
      +-- goal G3: Alt-Ergo (0.93) (0.02)
```

```
== Statistics per prover: number of proofs, time (minimum/maximum/average) in seconds ==
  Alt-Ergo (0.93)     :   2   0.02   0.02   0.02
  Simplify (1.5.4)    :   2   0.01   0.01   0.01
```

6.7.2 Command `latex`

Command `latex` produces a summary of the replay under the form of a tabular environment in LaTeX, one tabular for each theory, one per file.

The specific options are

`-style <n>` sets output style (1 or 2, default 1) Option `-style 2` produces an alternate version of LaTeX output, with a different layout of the tables.

`-o <path>` where to produce LaTeX files (default: session dir)

`-longtable` use 'longtable' environment instead of 'tabular'

Customizing LaTeX output   The generated LaTeX files contain some macros that must be defined externally. Various definitions can be given to them to customize the output.

`\provername` : macro with one parameter, a prover name

`\valid` : macro with one parameter, used where the corresponding prover answers that the goal is valid. The parameter is the time in seconds.

`\noresult` : macro without parameter, used where no result exists for the corresponding prover

`\timeout` : macro without parameter, used where the corresponding prover reached the time limit

`\explanation` : macro with one parameter, the goal name or its explanation

Figure 6.1 proposes some suggestions for these macros, together with the table obtained from the HelloProof example of Section 1.

6.7.3 Command `html`

This command produces a summary of the proof session in HTML syntax. There are three styles of output: 'table', 'simpletree' and 'jstree'. The default is 'table'.

The style 'table' outputs the contents of the session as a table, similar to the LaTeX output above. Figure 6.2 is the HTML table produced for the 'HelloProof' example, as typically shown in a Web browser.

The style "simpletree" displays the contents of the session under the form of tree, similar to the tree view in the IDE. It uses only basic HTMl tags such as `<ul>` and `<li>`.

The style 'jstree' displays a dynamic tree view of the session, where you can click on various parts to expand or shrink some part of the tree. Clicking on a edited proof script also shows the contents of this script. Technically, it use the 'jstree' plugin of the javascript library 'jquery'.

Specific options for this command are as follows.

`--style <s>` sets the style to use, among `simpletree`, `jstree` and `table`, defaults to `table`.

Proof obligations		Alt-Ergo (0.93)	Coq (8.3pl3)	Simplify (1.5.4)
G1				0.01
G2		?		?
	G2.0	?	?	?
	G2.1	0.02		0.01
G3		0.02		?

```
\usepackage{xcolor}
\usepackage{rotating}
\newcommand{\provername}[1]{\cellcolor{yellow!25}
\begin{sideways}\textbf{#1}~~\end{sideways}}

\usepackage{colortbl}
\newcommand{\noresult}{\multicolumn{1}{>{\columncolor[gray]{0.8}}c|}{~}}

\usepackage{wasysym}
\newcommand{\timeout}{\cellcolor{red!20}\clock}

\newcommand{\explanation}[1]{\cellcolor{yellow!13}\textsl{#1}}

\newcommand{\valid}[1]{\cellcolor{green!13}#1}
\newcommand{\unknown}{\cellcolor{red!20}?}
```

Figure 6.1: Sample macros for the LaTeX command

Figure 6.2: HTML table produced for the HelloProof example

-o the directory to output the produces files ('-' for stdout). The default is to output in the same directory as the session itself.

--context adds context around the generated code in order to allow direct visualisation (header, css, ...). It also adds in the output directory all the needed external files. It can't be set with stdout output.

--add_pp <suffix> <cmd> <out_suffix> adds for the given prefix the given pretty-printer, the new file as the given out_suffix. cmd must contain '%i' which will be replaced by the input file and '%o' which will be replaced by the output file.

--coqdoc use the `coqdoc` command to display Coq proof scripts. This is equivalent to `--add_pp .v "coqdoc --no-index --html -o %o %i" .html`

6.7.4 Commands modifying the proof attempts

The subcommands `mod`, `copy`, and `rm` share the same set of options for selecting the proof attempts to work on:

- Option `--filter-prover` selects only the proof attempt with the given prover. This option can be specified multiple times to allow to select all the proofs that corresponds to one of the given provers. If this option is not specified, the proof are simply not filtered by there corresponding prover.

- Option `--filter-verified yes` restricts the selection to the proofs that are valid and not obsoletes. On contrary the option `--filter-verified no` select the ones that are not verified. `--filter-verified all`, the default, does not select on this property.

- Option `--filter-verified-goal yes` restricts the selection to the proofs of verified goals (that does not mean that the proof is verified). Same for the other cases `no` and `all`.

- Option `--filter-archived yes` restricts the selection to the proofs that are archived. Same for the other cases `no` and `all` except the default is `no`.

The subcommand `mod` modifies properties of proof attempts:

- Option `--set-obsolete` marks the selected proofs as obsolete.

- Option `--set-archived` marks the selected proofs as archived.

- Option `--unset-archived` removes the archived mark from the selected proofs.

The subcommand `copy` copies the proof attempt of a given goal to another prover. The new prover is specified by the option `--to-prover`, for example `--to-prover Alt-Ergo,0.94`. A conflict arises if a proof with this prover already exists. You can choose between four behaviors of `why3session`:

- replace the proof (`-f`, `--force`);

- do not replace thr proof (`-n`, `--never`);

- replace the proof unless it is verified (valid and not obsolete) (`-c`, `--conservative`); this is the default;

- ask the user each time the case arises (`-i`, `--interactive`).

The subcommand `rm` removes the selected proof attempts. The options `-i, --interactive, -f, --force` and `-c, --conservative` can also be used to respectively ask before each suppression, suppress all the selected proof (default) and remove only the proof that are not verified. The macro option `--clean` corresponds to `--filter-verified-goal --conservative` and removes the proof attempts that are not verified but which correspond to verified goals.

6.8 The `why3doc` tool

This tool can produce HTML pages from Why3 source code. A source file is scanned, Why3 code for theories or modules is output in preformatted HTML code. Comments are interpreted in three different ways.

- Comments starting with at least three stars are completed ignored.

- Comments starting with two stars are interpreted as textual documentation. Special constructs are interpreted as described below.

- Comments starting with one star only are interpreted as code comments, and are typeset as the code

Additionally, all the Why3 identifiers are typeset with links so that one can navigate through the HTML documentation, going from some identifier use to its definition.

Options

- Option `-o <dir>` defines the directory where to output the HTML files.

- Option `--output` is the same as `-o`.

- Option `--index` (resp. `--no-index`) to include (resp. exclude) the generation of an index file `index.html`. The default behavior is to generate an index if more than one file is passed on the command line.

- Option `--title` *s* sets title *s* for the index page.

- Option `--stdlib-url` *url* sets a URL for files found in load path, so that links to definitions can be added.

Typesetting textual comments   Some constructs are interpreted:

- `{c text}` interprets character *c* as some typesetting command, detailed below

- `[code]` is a code escape: the text *code* is typeset as Why3 code.

The typesetting commands are

1-6 a heading of level 1 to 6 respectively

h raw HTML

The HTML rendering is controlled via a CSS file `style.css` generated in the same directory as output files. This CSS style can be modified manually: regenerating the doc will not overwrite an existing `style.css` file.

Chapter 7

Language Reference

This chapter gives the grammar and semantics for Why3 and Why3ML input files.

7.1 Lexical conventions

Lexical conventions are common to Why3 and Why3ML.

Comments. Comments are enclosed by (* and *) and can be nested.

Strings. Strings are enclosed in double quotes ("). Double quotes can be inserted in strings using the backslash character (\). In the following, strings are referred to with the non-terminal *string*.

Identifiers. The syntax distinguishes lowercase and uppercase identifiers and, similarly, lowercase and uppercase qualified identifiers.

lalpha	::=	`a - z` | `_`
ualpha	::=	`A - Z`
alpha	::=	*lalpha* | *ualpha*
lident	::=	*lalpha* (*alpha* | *digit* | ')*
uident	::=	*ualpha* (*alpha* | *digit* | ')*
ident	::=	*lident* | *uident*
lqualid	::=	*lident* | *uqualid* . *lident*
uqualid	::=	*uident* | *uqualid* . *uident*

Constants. The syntax for constants is given in Figure 7.1. Integer and real constants have arbitrary precision. Integer constants may be given in base 16, 10, 8 or 2. Real constants may be given in base 16 or 10.

Operators. Prefix and infix operators are built from characters organized in four categories (*op-char-1* to *op-char-4*).

```
      digit    ::=     0 - 9

  hex-digit    ::=     digit  |  a - f  |  A - F

  oct-digit    ::=     0 - 7

  bin-digit    ::=     0  |  1

    integer    ::=     digit  (digit  |  _)*              decimal
                 |     (0x  |  0X)  hex-digit  (hex-digit  |  _)*   hexadecimal
                 |     (0o  |  0O)  oct-digit  (oct-digit  |  _)*   octal
                 |     (0b  |  0B)  bin-digit  (bin-digit  |  _)*   binary

       real    ::=     digit⁺  exponent                   decimal
                 |     digit⁺  .  digit*  exponent?
                 |     digit*  .  digit⁺  exponent?
                 |     (0x  |  0X)  hex-real  h-exponent   hexadecimal

   hex-real    ::=     hex-digit⁺
                 |     hex-digit⁺  .  hex-digit*
                 |     hex-digit*  .  hex-digit⁺

   exponent    ::=     (e  |  E)  (-  |  +)?  digit⁺

 h-exponent    ::=     (p  |  P)  (-  |  +)?  digit⁺
```

Figure 7.1: Syntax for constants.

```
  op-char-1    ::=     =  |  <  |  >  |  ~

  op-char-2    ::=     +  |  -

  op-char-3    ::=     *  |  /  |  %

  op-char-4    ::=     !  |  $  |  &  |  ?  |  @  |  ^  |  .  |  :  |  |  |  #

    op-char    ::=     op-char-1  |  op-char-2  |  op-char-3  |  op-char-4

  infix-op-1   ::=     op-char*  op-char-1  op-char*

    infix-op   ::=     op-char⁺

   prefix-op   ::=     op-char⁺

    bang-op    ::=     !  op-char-4*  |  ?  op-char-4*
```

Infix operators are classified into 4 categories, according to the characters they are built
from:

- level 4: operators containing only characters from *op-char-4*;

- level 3: those containing characters from *op-char-3* or *op-char-4*;

- level 2: those containing characters from *op-char-2*, *op-char-3* or *op-char-4*;

- level 1: all other operators (non-terminal *infix-op-1*).

Labels.   Identifiers, terms, formulas, program expressions can all be labeled, either with
a string, or with a location tag.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathit{label} & ::= & \mathit{string} \\
& | & \texttt{\#} \ \ \mathit{filename} \ \ \mathit{digit}^{+} \ \ \mathit{digit}^{+} \ \ \mathit{digit}^{+} \ \ \texttt{\#} \\
\mathit{filename} & ::= & \mathit{string}
\end{array}
$$

A location tag consists of a file name, a line number, and starting and ending characters.

7.2 Why3 Language

Terms. The syntax for terms is given in Figure 7.2. The various constructs have the following priorities and associativities, from lowest to greatest priority:

construct	associativity
`if then else` / `let in`	–
label	–
cast	–
infix-op level 1	left
infix-op level 2	left
infix-op level 3	left
infix-op level 4	left
prefix-op	–
function application	left
brackets / ternary brackets	–
bang-op	–

Note the curryfied syntax for function application, though partial application is not allowed (rejected at typing).

Type Expressions. The syntax for type expressions is the following:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathit{type} & ::= & \mathit{lqualid} \ \ \mathit{type}^{*} & \text{type symbol} \\
& | & \text{'} \ \mathit{lident} & \text{type variable} \\
& | & \texttt{()} & \text{empty tuple type} \\
& | & \texttt{(} \ \mathit{type} \ \texttt{(,} \ \mathit{type}\texttt{)}^{+} \ \texttt{)} & \text{tuple type} \\
& | & \texttt{(} \ \mathit{type} \ \texttt{)} & \text{parentheses}
\end{array}
$$

Built-in types are `int`, `real`, and tuple types. Note that the syntax for type expressions notably differs from the usual ML syntax (*e.g.* the type of polymorphic lists is written `list 'a`, not `'a list`).

Formulas. The syntax for formulas is given Figure 7.3. The various constructs have the following priorities and associativities, from lowest to greatest priority:

term	::=	*integer*	integer constant
	|	*real*	real constant
	|	*lqualid*	symbol
	|	*prefix-op* *term*	
	|	*bang-op* *term*	
	|	*term* *infix-op* *term*	
	|	*term* **[** *term* **]**	brackets
	|	*term* **[** *term* **<-** *term* **]**	ternary brackets
	|	*lqualid* *term*$^+$	function application
	|	**if** *formula* **then** *term*	
		else *term*	conditional
	|	**let** *pattern* **=** *term* **in** *term*	local binding
	|	**match** *term* **(,** *term***)*** **with**	
		(| *term-case***)**$^+$ **end**	pattern matching
	|	**(** *term* **(,** *term***)**$^+$ **)**	tuple
	|	**{|** *field-value*$^+$ **|}**	record
	|	*term* **.** *lqualid*	field access
	|	**{|** *term* **with** *field-value*$^+$ **|}**	field update
	|	*term* **:** *type*	cast
	|	*label* *term*	label
	|	**'** *uident*	code mark
	|	**(** *term* **)**	parentheses
term-case	::=	*pattern* **->** *term*	
pattern	::=	*pattern* **|** *pattern*	or pattern
	|	*pattern* **,** *pattern*	tuple
	|	**_**	catch-all
	|	*lident*	variable
	|	*uident* *pattern**	constructor
	|	**(** *pattern* **)**	parentheses
	|	*pattern* **as** *lident*	binding
field-value	::=	*lqualid* **=** *term* **;**	

Figure 7.2: Syntax for terms.

formula	::=	true | false	
	|	*formula* -> *formula*	implication
	|	*formula* <-> *formula*	equivalence
	|	*formula* /\ *formula*	conjunction
	|	*formula* && *formula*	asymmetric conj.
	|	*formula* \/ *formula*	disjunction
	|	*formula* || *formula*	asymmetric disj.
	|	not *formula*	negation
	|	*lqualid*	symbol
	|	*prefix-op term*	
	|	*term infix-op term*	
	|	*lqualid term*$^+$	predicate application
	|	if *formula* then *formula* else *formula*	conditional
	|	let *pattern* = *term* in *formula*	local binding
	|	match *term* (, *term*)$^+$ with (| *formula-case*)$^+$ end	pattern matching
	|	*quantifier binders* (, *binders*)* *triggers*$^?$. *formula*	quantifier
	|	*label formula*	label
	|	(*formula*)	parentheses
quantifier	::=	forall | exists	
binders	::=	*lident*$^+$: *type*	
triggers	::=	[*trigger* (| *trigger*)*]	
trigger	::=	*tr-term* (, *tr-term*)*	
tr-term	::=	*term* | *formula*	
formula-case	::=	*pattern* -> *formula*	

Figure 7.3: Syntax for formulas.

construct	associativity
if then else / let in	–
label	–
-> / <->	right
\/ / ||	right
/\ / &&	right
not	–
infix level 1	left
infix level 2	left
infix level 3	left
infix level 4	left
prefix	–

Note that infix symbols of level 1 include equality (=) and disequality (<>).

Notice that there are two symbols for the conjunction: and and &&, and similarly for disjunction. There are logically equivalent, but may be treated slightly differently by some

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}
theory & ::= & \texttt{theory} \ \ uident \ \ label^* \ \ decl^* \ \ \texttt{end} \\[4pt]
decl & ::= & \texttt{type} \ \ type\text{-}decl \ \ (\texttt{with} \ \ type\text{-}decl)^* \\
 & | & \texttt{constant} \ \ constant\text{-}decl \\
 & | & \texttt{function} \ \ function\text{-}decl \ \ (\texttt{with} \ \ logic\text{-}decl)^* \\
 & | & \texttt{predicate} \ \ predicate\text{-}decl \ \ (\texttt{with} \ \ logic\text{-}decl)^* \\
 & | & \texttt{inductive} \ \ inductive\text{-}decl \ \ (\texttt{with} \ \ inductive\text{-}decl)^* \\
 & | & \texttt{axiom} \ \ ident \ \ : \ \ formula \\
 & | & \texttt{lemma} \ \ ident \ \ : \ \ formula \\
 & | & \texttt{goal} \ \ ident \ \ : \ \ formula \\
 & | & \texttt{use} \ \ imp\text{-}exp \ \ tqualid \ \ (\texttt{as} \ \ uident\text{-}opt)^? \\
 & | & \texttt{clone} \ \ imp\text{-}exp \ \ tqualid \ \ (\texttt{as} \ \ uident\text{-}opt)^? \ \ subst^? \\
 & | & \texttt{namespace} \ \texttt{import}^? \ \ uident\text{-}opt \ \ decl^* \ \ \texttt{end} \\[4pt]
logic\text{-}decl & ::= & function\text{-}decl \\
 & | & predicate\text{-}decl \\[4pt]
constant\text{-}decl & ::= & lident \ \ label^* \ \ : \ \ type \\
 & | & lident \ \ label^* \ \ : \ \ type \ \ = \ \ term \\[4pt]
function\text{-}decl & ::= & lident \ \ label^* \ \ type\text{-}param^* \ \ : \ \ type \\
 & | & lident \ \ label^* \ \ type\text{-}param^* \ \ : \ \ type \ \ = \ \ term \\[4pt]
predicate\text{-}decl & ::= & lident \ \ label^* \ \ type\text{-}param^* \\
 & | & lident \ \ label^* \ \ type\text{-}param^* \ \ = \ \ formula \\[4pt]
inductive\text{-}decl & ::= & lident \ \ label^* \ \ type\text{-}param^* \ \ = \\
 & & |^? \ \ ind\text{-}case \ \ (| \ \ ind\text{-}case)^* \\[4pt]
ind\text{-}case & ::= & ident \ \ label^* \ \ : \ \ formula \\[4pt]
imp\text{-}exp & ::= & (\texttt{import} \ | \ \texttt{export})^? \\[4pt]
uident\text{-}opt & ::= & uident \ \ | \ \ \_ \\[4pt]
subst & ::= & \texttt{with} \ (, \ subst\text{-}elt)^+ \\[4pt]
subst\text{-}elt & ::= & \texttt{type} \ \ lqualid \ \ = \ \ lqualid \\
 & | & \texttt{function} \ \ lqualid \ \ = \ \ lqualid \\
 & | & \texttt{predicate} \ \ lqualid \ \ = \ \ lqualid \\
 & | & \texttt{namespace} \ (uqualid \ | \ .) \ \ = \ (uqualid \ | \ .) \\
 & | & \texttt{lemma} \ \ uqualid \\
 & | & \texttt{goal} \ \ uqualid \\[4pt]
tqualid & ::= & uident \ \ | \ \ ident \ \ (. \ \ ident)^* \ \ . \ \ uident \\[4pt]
type\text{-}decl & ::= & lident \ \ label^* \ \ (\text{'} \ \ lident \ \ label^*)^* \ \ type\text{-}defn
\end{array}
$$

Figure 7.4: Syntax for theories (part 1).

transformation, *e.g.* the `split` transformation transforms A `and` B into subgoals A and B, whereas it transforms A `&&` B into subgoals A and $A \rightarrow B$.

Theories. The syntax for theories is given on Figure 7.4 and 7.5.

```
 type-defn    ::=                                                  abstract type
           |    =  type                                            alias type
           |    =  |?   type-case  (|  type-case)*                 algebraic type
           |    =  {|  record-field  (;  record-field)*   |}       record type

 type-case    ::=     uident   label*   type-param*

 record-field   ::=      lident   label*   :   type

 type-param    ::=      '  lident
           |      lqualid
           |    (  lident+   :   type   )
           |    (  type   (,  type)*   )
           |    ()
```

Figure 7.5: Syntax for theories (part 2).

Files. A Why3 input file is a (possibly empty) list of theories.

```
 file    ::=       theory*
```

type-v	::=	*type*	(*type-v*)	parentheses
	|	*type-v* -> *type-c*		
	|	*type-v-binder* -> *type-c*		
type-v-binder	::=	*lident label* : *type-v*		
type-v-param	::=	(*type-v-binder*)		
type-c	::=	*type-v*		
	|	*pre type-v effect post*		
effect	::=	*reads$^?$ writes$^?$ raises$^?$*		
reads	::=	**reads** *tr-term$^+$*		
writes	::=	**writes** *tr-term$^+$*		
raises	::=	**raises** *uqualid$^+$*		
pre	::=	*annotation*		
post	::=	*annotation post-exn**		
post-exn	::=	| *uqualid* -> *annotation*		
annotation	::=	{} | { *formula* }		

Figure 7.6: Syntax for program types.

7.3 Why3ML Language

Types. The syntax for program types is given in Figure 7.6.

Expressions. The syntax for program expressions is given in Figure 7.7 and Figure 7.8.

Modules. The syntax for modules is given in Figure 7.9. Any declaration which is accepted in a theory is also accepted in a module. Additionally, modules can introduce record types with mutable fields and declarations which are specific to programs (global variables, functions, exceptions).

Files. A Why3ML input file is a (possibly empty) list of theories and modules.

file	::=	(*theory* | *module*)*

A theory defined in a Why3ML file can only be used within that file. If a theory is supposed to be reused from other files, be they Why3 or Why3ML files, it should be defined in a Why3 file.

expr	::=	*integer*	integer constant
	|	*real*	real constant
	|	*lqualid*	symbol
	|	*prefix-op* *expr*	
	|	*expr* *infix-op* *expr*	
	|	*expr* [*expr*]	brackets
	|	*expr* [*expr*] <- *expr*	brackets assignment
	|	*expr* [*expr* *infix-op*-1 *expr*]	ternary brackets
	|	*expr* *expr*$^+$	function application
	|	fun *type-v-param*$^+$ -> *triple*	lambda abstraction
	|	let rec *recfun* (with *recfun*)*	recursive functions
	|	if *expr* then *expr* (else *expr*)$^?$	conditional
	|	*expr* ; *expr*	sequence
	|	loop *loop-annot* end	infinite loop
	|	while *expr*	while loop
		do *loop-annot* *expr* done	
	|	for *lident* = *expr* *to-downto* *expr*	for loop
		do *loop-inv* *expr* done	
	|	*assert* *annotation*	assertion
	|	absurd	
	|	raise *uqualid*	exception raising
	|	raise (*uqualid* *expr*)	
	|	try *expr* with (| *handler*)$^+$ end	exception catching
	|	any *type-c*	
	|	let *pattern* = *expr* in *expr*	local binding
	|	match *expr* (, *expr*)* with	pattern matching
		(| *expr-case*)$^+$ end	
	|	(*expr* (, *expr*)$^+$)	tuple
	|	{| *field-value*$^+$ |}	record
	|	*expr* . *lqualid*	field access
	|	*expr* . *lqualid* <- *expr*	field assignment
	|	{| *expr* with *field-value*$^+$ |}	field update
	|	*expr* : *type*	cast
	|	*label* *expr*	label
	|	' *uident* : *expr*	code mark
	|	(*expr*)	parentheses
expr-case	::=	*pattern* -> *expr*	
field-value	::=	*lqualid* = *expr* ;	
triple	::=	*expr*	
	|	pre *expr* post	Hoare triple

Figure 7.7: Syntax for program expressions (part1).

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}
\mathit{assert} & ::= & \texttt{assert} \mid \texttt{assume} \mid \texttt{check} \\[4pt]
\mathit{to\text{-}downto} & ::= & \texttt{to} \mid \texttt{downto} \\[4pt]
\mathit{loop\text{-}annot} & ::= & \mathit{loop\text{-}inv}^? \quad \mathit{variant}^? \\[4pt]
\mathit{loop\text{-}inv} & ::= & \texttt{invariant} \;\; \mathit{annotation} \\[4pt]
\mathit{variant} & ::= & \texttt{variant \{} \;\; \mathit{term} \;\; \texttt{\}} \;\; (\texttt{with} \;\; \mathit{lqualid})^?
\end{array}
$$

Figure 7.8: Syntax for program expressions (part2).

$$
\begin{array}{rcll}
\mathit{module} & ::= & \texttt{module} \;\; \mathit{uident} \;\; \mathit{label}^* \;\; \mathit{mdecl}^* \;\; \texttt{end} \\[4pt]
\mathit{mdecl} & ::= & \mathit{decl} & \text{theory declaration} \\
& \mid & \texttt{type} \;\; \mathit{mtype\text{-}decl} \;\; (\texttt{with} \;\; \mathit{mtype\text{-}decl})^* & \text{mutable types} \\
& \mid & \texttt{let} \;\; \mathit{lident} \;\; \mathit{label}^* \;\; \mathit{pgm\text{-}defn} \\
& \mid & \texttt{let rec} \;\; \mathit{recfun} \;\; (\texttt{with} \;\; \mathit{recfun})^* \\
& \mid & \texttt{val} \;\; \mathit{lident} \;\; \mathit{label}^* \;\; \mathit{pgm\text{-}decl} \\
& \mid & \texttt{exception} \;\; \mathit{lident} \;\; \mathit{label}^* \;\; \mathit{type}^? \\
& \mid & \texttt{use} \;\; \mathit{imp\text{-}exp} \;\; \texttt{module} \;\; \mathit{tqualid} \\
& & (\texttt{as} \;\; \mathit{uident\text{-}opt})^? \\
& \mid & \texttt{namespace} \;\; \texttt{import}^? \;\; \mathit{uident\text{-}opt} \\
& & \mathit{mdecl}^* \;\; \texttt{end} \\[4pt]
\mathit{mtype\text{-}decl} & ::= & \mathit{lident} \;\; \mathit{label}^* \;\; (\texttt{'} \;\; \mathit{lident} \;\; \mathit{label}^*)^* \\
& & \mathit{mtype\text{-}defn} \\[4pt]
\mathit{mtype\text{-}defn} & ::= & & \text{abstract type} \\
& \mid & \texttt{=} \;\; \mathit{type} & \text{alias type} \\
& \mid & \texttt{=} \;\; \texttt{|}^? \;\; \mathit{type\text{-}case} \;\; (\texttt{|} \;\; \mathit{type\text{-}case})^* & \text{algebraic type} \\
& \mid & \texttt{= \{|} \;\; \mathit{mrecord\text{-}field} & \text{record type} \\
& & (\texttt{;} \;\; \mathit{mrecord\text{-}field})^* \;\; \texttt{|\}} \\[4pt]
\mathit{mrecord\text{-}field} & ::= & \texttt{mutable}^? \;\; \mathit{lident} \;\; \mathit{label}^* \;\; \texttt{:} \;\; \mathit{type} \\[4pt]
\mathit{pgm\text{-}decl} & ::= & \texttt{:} \;\; \mathit{type\text{-}v} \\
& \mid & \mathit{type\text{-}v\text{-}param}^+ \;\; \texttt{:} \;\; \mathit{type\text{-}c} \\[4pt]
\mathit{pgm\text{-}defn} & ::= & \mathit{type\text{-}v\text{-}param}^+ \;\; (\texttt{:} \;\; \mathit{type})^? \;\; \texttt{=} \;\; \mathit{triple} \\
& \mid & \texttt{= fun} \;\; \mathit{type\text{-}v\text{-}param}^+ \;\; \texttt{->} \;\; \mathit{triple}
\end{array}
$$

Figure 7.9: Syntax for modules.

Chapter 8

Standard Library

This chapter provides a short description of the contents of Why3 standard library. It contains both standard logic theories, described in Section 8.1, and standard ML modules, described in Section 8.2.

Only a rough introduction of the theories and modules is given here. For detailed information, one should refer to the on-line documentation automatically generated from the actual sources, available at http://why3.lri.fr/stdlib/.

8.1 Standard Theories

We present the most important theories here, see the URL above for the others.

Notice there is an alternative way to explore the contents of a library, using the why3 command with option -T and a qualified theory name, for example:

```
> why3 -T bool.Ite
theory Ite
  (* use BuiltIn *)

  (* use Bool *)

  function ite (b:bool) (x:'a) (y:'a) : 'a =
    match b with
    | True -> x
    | False -> y
    end
end
```

In the following, for each library, we describe the main theories defined in it.

8.1.1 Library `bool`

Bool provides the Boolean data type `bool` with constructors `True` and `False`; and operations `andb`, `orb`, `xorb`, `notb`.

Ite provides the polymorphic if-then-else operator written as `ite`.

8.1.2   Library `int`

Int provides the basic operations `+`, `-`, and `*`, and the comparison operators `<`, `>`, `>=`, and `<=`.

Abs provides the absolute value written as `abs`.

EuclideanDivision defines division and modulo, where division rounds down, written as `div` and `mod`.

ComputerDivision defines division and modulo, where division rounds to zero, also written as `div` and `mod`.

MinMax provides `min` and `max` operators.

See the on-line web documentation for the other theories defined in the `int` library.

8.1.3   Library `real`

Real provides basic operations `+`, `-`, `*` and `/`; comparison operators.

RealInfix provides basic operations with alternative syntax `+.`, `-.`, `*.`, `/.`, `<.`, `>.`, `<=.`, and `>=.`, to allow simultaneous use of integer and real operators.

Abs provides absolute value written as `abs`.

MinMax provides `min` and `max` operators.

FromInt provides the operator `from_int` to convert an integer to a real.

Truncate provides conversion operators from real to integers: `truncate` rounds to 0, `floor` rounds down, and `ceil` rounds up.

Square provides operators `sqr` and `sqrt` for square and square root.

See the on-line web documentation for the other theories defined in the `real` library, such exponential, logarithm, power, trigonometric functions.

8.1.4   Library `floating_point`

This library provides a theory of IEEE-754 floating-point numbers. It is inspired by [1].

8.1.5   Library `map`

This library provides the data type of purely applicative maps. It is polymorphic both in the index type and the contents. There are also a few theories and operators specialized to maps indexed by integers, such as the sorted predicate, permutation, etc.

8.1.6   Library `option`

This library provides the classical ML option type with constructors `None` and `Some`.

8.1.7   Library `list`

This library provides the classical ML type of polymorphic lists, with constructors `Nil` and `Cons`. Most of the classical list operators are provided in separate theories.

8.2 Standard ML Modules

The standard ML modules provided allow to write imperative programs. The two main modules are the one providing ML references, and the one providing arrays.

8.2.1 Library `ref`

Ref provides references *i.e.* mutable variables: type `ref 'a` and functions `ref` for creation, `(!)` for access, and `(:=)` for mutation.

Refint provides additional functions `incr`, `decr` and a few others, over integer references.

8.2.2 Library `array`

Array polymorphic arrays (type `array 'a`, infix syntax $a[i]$ for access and $a[i] \leftarrow e$ for update, functions `length`, `make`, `append`, `sub`, `copy`, `fill`, and `blit`)

ArraySorted an array of integers is sorted (`array_sorted_sub` and `array_sorted`)

ArrayEq two arrays are identical (`array_eq_sub` and `array_eq`)

ArrayPermut two arrays are permutation of each other (`permut_sub` and `permut`)

8.2.3 Standard Data Types

A few other classical data types are provided, such as queues (library `queue`), stacks (library `stack`), hash tables (library `hashtbl`), characters and strings (library `string`), etc.

Chapter 9

Theory Realizations

9.1 Generating a realization

Generating the skeleton for a theory is done by passing to Why3 the `--realize` option, a driver suitable for realizations, the names of the theories to realize, and the target directory.

```
why3 --realize -D path/to/drivers/coq-realize.drv
     -T env_path.theory_name -o path/to/target/dir/
```

The name of the generated file is inferred from the theory name. If the target directory already contains a file with the same name, Why3 extracts all the parts that it assumes to be user-made and prints them in the generated file.

Note that Why3 does not track dependencies between realizations and theories, so a realization will become outdated if a theory is modified. It is up to the user to handle such dependencies, for instance by adding a Makefile rule.

9.2 Using realizations inside proofs

If a theory has been realized, a Why3 printer for an interactive prover will no longer output assumptions from the theory but instead simply put a directive to load the realization. In order to indicate to the printer that a given theory is realized, one has to add a meta declaration to the corresponding theory section.

```
theory env_path.theory_name
  meta "realized" "env_path.theory_name", "optional_naming"
end
```

The first parameter is the theory name for Why3, while the second parameter, if not empty, provides a name to be used inside generated scripts to point to the realization, in case the default name is not suitable for the interactive prover.

9.3 Coq scripts

This section describes the content of the Coq files generated by Why3 for both proof obligations and theory realizations. When reading a Coq script, Why3 is guided by the presence of empty lines to split the script, so the user should refrain from removing empty lines around generated parts or adding empty lines inside them.

1. The header of the file contains all the library inclusions required by the driver file. Any user-made changes to this part will be lost when the file is regenerated by Why3. This part ends at the first empty line.

2. Abstract logic symbols are assumed with the vernacular directive `Paramater`. Axioms are assumed with the `Axiom` directive. When regenerating a script, Why3 assumes that all such symbols have been generated by a previous run. As a consequence, the user should not introduce new symbols with these two directives, as they would be lost.

3. Definitions of functions and inductive types in theories are printed in a block that starts with `(* Why3 assumption *)`. This comment should not be removed; otherwise Why3 will assume that the definition is user-made.

4. Finally, proof obligations and symbols to be realized are introduced by `(* Why3 goal *)`. The user is supposed to fill the script after the statement. Why3 assumes that the user-made part extends up to `Qed`, `Admitted`, `Save`, or `Defined`, whichever comes first. In the case of definitions, the original statement can be replaced by a `Notation` directive, in order to ease the usage of already defined symbols.

Currently, the parser for Coq scripts is rather naive and does not know much about comments. For instance, Why3 can easily be confused by some terminating directive like `Qed` that would be present in a comment.

9.4   Shipping libraries of realizations

While modifying an existing driver file might be sufficient for local use, it does not scale well when the realizations are to be shipped to other users. Instead, an additional configuration file should be created.

```
[main]
loadpath="path/to/theories"

[prover coq]
option="-R path/to/vo/files Logical_directory"
driver="path/to/extra_coq.drv"
```

This file can be passed to Why3 thanks to the `--extra-config` option.

Chapter 10

Coq Tactic

Why3 provides a Coq tactic to call external theorem provers as oracles.

10.1   Installation

You need Coq version 8.3 or greater. If this is the case, Why3's configuration detects it, then compiles and installs the Coq tactic. The Coq tactic is installed in

$$\textit{why3-lib-dir}\texttt{/coq-tactic/}$$

where *why3-lib-dir* is Why3's library directory, as reported by `why3 --print-libdir`. This directory is automatically added to Coq's load path if you are calling Coq via Why3 (from `why3ide`, `why3replayer`, etc.). If you are calling Coq by yourself, you need to add this directory to Coq's load path, either using Coq's command line option `-I` or by adding

`Add LoadPath "`*why3-lib-dir*`/coq-tactic/".`

to your `~/.coqrc` resource file.

10.2   Usage

The Coq tactic is called `why3` and is used as follows:

`why3 "`*prover-name*`" [timelimit` *n*`]`.

The string *prover-name* identifies one of the automated theorem provers supported by Why3, as reported by `why3 --list-provers` (interactive provers excluded). The current goal is then translated to Why3's logic and the prover is called. If it reports the goal to be valid, then Coq's `admit` tactic is used to assume the goal. The prover is called with a time limit in seconds as given by Why3's configuration file (see page 93). A different value may be given using the `timelimit` keyword.

Error messages.   The following errors may be reported by the Coq tactic.

Not a first order goal

> The Coq goal could not be translated to Why3's logic.

Timeout

> There was no answer from the prover within the given time limit.

Don't know

The prover stopped without validating the goal.

Invalid

The prover stopped, reporting the goal to be invalid.

Failure

The prover failed. Depending on the message that follows, you may want to file a bug report, either to the Why3 developers or to the prover developers.

Chapter 11

Technical Informations

11.1 Structure of Session Files

The proof session state is stored in an XML file named `<dir>/why3session.xml`, where `<dir>` is the directory of the project. The XML file follows the DTD given in `share/why3session.dtd` and reproduced below.

```
<!ELEMENT why3session (prover*, file*)>
<!ATTLIST why3session name CDATA #REQUIRED>

<!ELEMENT prover EMPTY>
<!ATTLIST prover id CDATA #REQUIRED>
<!ATTLIST prover name CDATA #REQUIRED>
<!ATTLIST prover version CDATA #REQUIRED>

<!ELEMENT file (theory*)>
<!ATTLIST file name CDATA #REQUIRED>
<!ATTLIST file verified CDATA #REQUIRED>
<!ATTLIST file expanded CDATA #IMPLIED>

<!ELEMENT theory (label*,goal*)>
<!ATTLIST theory name CDATA #REQUIRED>
<!ATTLIST theory verified CDATA #REQUIRED>
<!ATTLIST theory expanded CDATA #IMPLIED>
<!ATTLIST theory locfile CDATA #IMPLIED>
<!ATTLIST theory loclnum CDATA #IMPLIED>
<!ATTLIST theory loccnumb CDATA #IMPLIED>
<!ATTLIST theory loccnume CDATA #IMPLIED>

<!ELEMENT goal (label*, proof*, transf*)>
<!ATTLIST goal name CDATA #REQUIRED>
<!ATTLIST goal expl CDATA #IMPLIED>
<!ATTLIST goal proved CDATA #REQUIRED>
<!ATTLIST goal sum CDATA #REQUIRED>
<!ATTLIST goal shape CDATA #IMPLIED>
<!ATTLIST goal expanded CDATA #IMPLIED>
<!ATTLIST goal locfile CDATA #IMPLIED>
<!ATTLIST goal loclnum CDATA #IMPLIED>
```

```
<!ATTLIST goal loccnumb CDATA #IMPLIED>
<!ATTLIST goal loccnume CDATA #IMPLIED>

<!ELEMENT proof (result|undone)>
<!ATTLIST proof prover CDATA #REQUIRED>
<!ATTLIST proof timelimit CDATA #IMPLIED>
<!ATTLIST proof memlimit CDATA #IMPLIED>
<!ATTLIST proof edited CDATA #IMPLIED>
<!ATTLIST proof obsolete CDATA #IMPLIED>
<!ATTLIST proof archived CDATA #IMPLIED>

<!ELEMENT result EMPTY>
<!ATTLIST result status (valid|invalid|unknown|timeout|failure) #REQUIRED>
<!ATTLIST result time CDATA #IMPLIED>

<!ELEMENT undone EMPTY>

<!ELEMENT transf (goal*)>
<!ATTLIST transf name CDATA #REQUIRED>
<!ATTLIST transf proved CDATA #REQUIRED>
<!ATTLIST transf expanded CDATA #IMPLIED>

<!ELEMENT label EMPTY>
<!ATTLIST label name CDATA #REQUIRED>
```

11.2   Provers detection data

All the necessary data configuration for the autoamtic detection of installed provers is stored in the file `provers-detection-data.conf` typically located in directory `/usr/local/share/why3` after installation. The contents of this file is reproduced below.

```
[ATP alt-ergo]
name = "Alt-Ergo"
exec = "alt-ergo"
exec = "alt-ergo-0.94"
version_switch = "-version"
version_regexp = "\\([0-9.]+\\)"
version_ok = "0.94"
version_bad = "0.93.1"
version_bad = "0.93"
version_bad = "0.92.3"
version_bad = "0.92.2"
version_bad = "0.92.1"
version_bad = "0.92"
version_bad = "0.91"
version_bad = "0.9"
version_bad = "0.8"
command = "'/home/jc/why3/bin/why3-cpulimit' %t %m -s %e %f"
driver = "drivers/alt_ergo.drv"

[ATP alt-ergo]
name = "Alt-Ergo"
exec = "alt-ergo"
exec = "alt-ergo-0.93.1"
```

```
exec = "alt-ergo-0.93"
version_switch = "-version"
version_regexp = "\\([0-9.]+\\)"
version_ok = "0.93.1"
version_ok = "0.93"
version_bad = "0.92.3"
version_bad = "0.92.2"
version_bad = "0.92.1"
version_bad = "0.92"
version_bad = "0.91"
version_bad = "0.9"
version_bad = "0.8"
command = "'/home/jc/why3/bin/why3-cpulimit' %t %m -s %e %f"
driver = "drivers/alt_ergo_0.93.drv"

[ATP alt-ergo]
name = "Alt-Ergo"
exec = "alt-ergo"
exec = "alt-ergo-0.92.3"
exec = "alt-ergo-0.92.2"
exec = "alt-ergo-0.92.1"
exec = "alt-ergo-0.92"
exec = "alt-ergo-0.91"
exec = "alt-ergo-0.9"
exec = "alt-ergo-0.8"
exec = "ergo"
version_switch = "-version"
version_regexp = "\\([0-9.]+\\)"
version_old = "0.92.3"
version_old = "0.92.2"
version_old = "0.92.1"
version_old = "0.92"
version_old = "0.91"
version_old = "0.9"
version_old = "0.8"
command = "'/home/jc/why3/bin/why3-cpulimit' %t %m -s %e %f"
driver = "drivers/alt_ergo_bare.drv"

[ATP cvc3-2.4]
name = "CVC3"
exec = "cvc3"
exec = "cvc3-2.4.1"
exec = "cvc3-2.4"
version_switch = "-version"
version_regexp = "This is CVC3 version \\([^ \n]+\\)"
version_ok  = "2.4.1"
version_old = "2.4"
version_bad = "2.2"
version_bad = "2.1"
# the -timeout option is unreliable in CVC3 2.4.1
command = "'/home/jc/why3/bin/why3-cpulimit' %t %m -s %e %f"
driver = "drivers/cvc3.drv"

[ATP cvc3-2.2]
name = "CVC3"
exec = "cvc3"
exec = "cvc3-2.2"
exec = "cvc3-2.1"
version_switch = "-version"
version_regexp = "This is CVC3 version \\([^ \n]+\\)"
version_bad = "2.4.1"
```

```
version_bad = "2.4"
version_ok  = "2.2"
version_old = "2.1"
# we pass time 0 to why3-cpulimit to avoid race
command = "'/home/jc/why3/bin/why3-cpulimit' %T %m -s %e -timeout %t %f"
driver = "drivers/cvc3.drv"

[ATP yices]
name = "Yices"
exec = "yices"
version_switch = "--version"
version_regexp = "[Yices ]*\\([^ \n]+\\)"
version_ok = "1.0.29"
version_ok = "1.0.28"
version_ok = "1.0.27"
version_ok = "1.0.26"
version_ok = "1.0.25"
version_old = "1.0.17"
version_old = "1.0.24"
command = "'/home/jc/why3/bin/why3-cpulimit' %t %m -s %e"
driver = "drivers/yices.drv"

[ATP eprover]
name = "Eprover"
exec = "eprover"
version_switch = "--version"
version_regexp = "E \\([-0-9.]+\\) [^\n]+"
version_ok = "1.4"
# we pass time 0 to why3-cpulimit to avoid race
command = "'/home/jc/why3/bin/why3-cpulimit' %T %m -s %e -s -R -xAuto -tAuto --cpu-limit=%t --tstp-in %f"
driver = "drivers/tptp.drv"

[ATP gappa]
name = "Gappa"
exec = "gappa"
version_switch = "--version"
version_regexp = "Gappa \\([^ \n]*\\)"
version_ok = "0.16.0"
version_ok = "0.15.1"
version_ok = "0.15.0"
version_ok = "0.14.1"
version_ok = "0.14.0"
version_ok = "0.13.0"
version_old = "0.12.3"
version_old = "0.12.2"
version_old = "0.12.1"
version_old = "0.12.0"
version_old = "0.11.2"
command = "'/home/jc/why3/bin/why3-cpulimit' %t %m -s %e"
driver = "drivers/gappa.drv"

[ATP simplify]
name = "Simplify"
exec = "Simplify"
exec = "simplify"
exec = "Simplify-1.5.4"
exec = "Simplify-1.5.5"
version_switch = "-version"
version_regexp = "Simplify version \\([^ \n,]+\\)"
version_ok = "1.5.5"
version_ok = "1.5.4"
```

```
command = "'/home/jc/why3/bin/why3-cpulimit' %t %m -s %e %f"
driver = "drivers/simplify.drv"

[ATP spass]
name = "Spass"
exec = "SPASS"
version_switch = "-TPTP || true"
version_regexp = "SPASS V \\([^ \n\t]+\\)"
version_ok = "3.7"
# we pass time 0 to why3-cpulimit to avoid race
command = "'/home/jc/why3/bin/why3-cpulimit' %T %m -s %e -TPTP -PGiven=0 -PProblem=0 -TimeLimit=%t %f"
driver = "drivers/tptp.drv"

[ATP vampire]
name = "Vampire"
exec = "vampire"
version_switch = "--version"
version_regexp = "Vampire \\([0-9.]+\\)"
# we pass time 0 to why3-cpulimit to avoid race
command = "'/home/jc/why3/bin/why3-cpulimit' %T %m -s %e -t %t"
driver = "drivers/vampire.drv"
version_ok = "0.6"

[ATP verit]
name = "veriT"
exec = "veriT"
exec = "verit"
version_switch = "--help"
version_regexp = "Version: \\([^ \n\r]+\\)"
command = "'/home/jc/why3/bin/why3-cpulimit' %t %m -s %e %f"
driver = "drivers/verit.drv"

[ATP z3-3]
name = "Z3"
exec = "z3"
exec = "z3-3.2"
exec = "z3-3.1"
exec = "z3-3.0"
version_switch = "-version"
version_regexp = "Z3 version \\([^ \n\r]+\\)"
version_ok  = "3.2"
version_old = "3.1"
version_old = "3.0"
version_bad = "2.19"
version_bad = "2.18"
version_bad = "2.17"
version_bad = "2.16"
version_bad = "2.2"
version_bad = "2.1"
version_bad = "1.3"
driver = "drivers/z3.drv"
# the -T is unreliable in Z3 3.2
command = "'/home/jc/why3/bin/why3-cpulimit' %t %m -s %e -smt2 -rs:42 %f"

[ATP z3-2]
name = "Z3"
exec = "z3"
exec = "z3-2.19"
exec = "z3-2.18"
exec = "z3-2.17"
exec = "z3-2.16"
```

```
version_switch = "-version"
version_regexp = "Z3 version \\([^ \n\r]+\\)"
version_bad = "3.2"
version_bad = "3.1"
version_bad = "3.0"
version_ok  = "2.19"
version_old = "2.18"
version_old = "2.17"
version_old = "2.16"
version_bad = "2.2"
version_bad = "2.1"
version_bad = "1.3"
driver = "drivers/z3.drv"
command = "'/home/jc/why3/bin/why3-cpulimit' %t %m -s %e -smt2 -rs:42 \
PHASE_SELECTION=0 \
RESTART_STRATEGY=0 \
RESTART_FACTOR=1.5 \
QI_EAGER_THRESHOLD=100 \
ARITH_RANDOM_INITIAL_VALUE=true \
SORT_AND_OR=false \
CASE_SPLIT=3 \
DELAY_UNITS=true \
DELAY_UNITS_THRESHOLD=16 \
%f"
#Other Parameters given by Nikolaj Bjorner
#BV_REFLECT=true #arith?
#MODEL_PARTIAL=true
#MODEL_VALUE_COMPLETION=false
#MODEL_HIDE_UNUSED_PARTITIONS=false
#MODEL_V1=true
#ASYNC_COMMANDS=false
#NNF_SK_HACK=true

[ATP z3-2]
name = "Z3"
exec = "z3"
exec = "z3-2.2"
exec = "z3-2.1"
exec = "z3-1.3"
version_switch = "-version"
version_regexp = "Z3 version \\([^ \n\r]+\\)"
version_bad = "3.2"
version_bad = "3.1"
version_bad = "3.0"
version_old = "2.2"
version_old = "2.1"
version_old = "1.3"
command = "'/home/jc/why3/bin/why3-cpulimit' %t %m -s %e -smt %f"
driver = "drivers/z3_smtv1.drv"

[ITP coq]
name = "Coq"
exec = "coqtop -batch"
version_switch = "-v"
version_regexp = "The Coq Proof Assistant, version \\([^ \n]+\\)"
version_ok = "8.3pl4"
version_ok = "8.3pl3"
version_ok = "8.3pl2"
version_ok = "8.3pl1"
version_ok = "8.3"
version_old = "8.2pl2"
```

```
version_old = "8.2pl1"
version_old = "8.2"
version_old = "8.1"
version_old = "8.0"
command = "'/home/jc/why3/bin/why3-cpulimit' 0 %m -s %e -I %l/coq-tactic -R %l/coq Why3 -l %f"
driver = "drivers/coq.drv"
editor = "coqide"

# [ITP pvs]
# name = "PVS"
# exec = "pvs"
# version_switch = "-version"
# version_regexp = "PVS Version \\([^ \n]+\\)"
# version_ok = "5.0"
# command = "'/home/jc/why3/bin/why3-cpulimit' 0 %m -s proveit %f"
# driver = "drivers/pvs.drv"
# editor = "pvs"

# [editor pvs]
# command = "pvs %f"

[editor coqide]
command = "coqide -I %l/coq-tactic -R %l/coq Why3 %f"

[editor proofgeneral-coq]
command = "emacs23 --eval \"(setq coq-load-path '((\\\\\"%l/coq\\\\\" \\\\\"Why3\\\\\")))\" %f"
```

11.3 The `why3.conf` configuration file

One can use a custom configuration file. `why3config` and other `why3` tools use priorities for which user's configuration file to consider:

- the file specified by the `-C` or `--config` options,

- the file specified by the environment variable `WHY3CONFIG` if set.

- the file `$HOME/.why3.conf` (`$USERPROFILE/.why3.conf` under Windows) or, in the case of local installation, `why3.conf` in Why3 sources top directory.

If none of these files exists, a built-in default configuration is used.

The configuration file is a human-readable text file, which consists of association pairs arranged in sections. Figure 11.1 shows an example of configuration file.

A section begins with a header inside square brackets and ends at the beginning of the next section. The header of a section can be only one identifier, `main` and `ide` in the example, or it can be composed by a family name and one family argument, `prover` is one family name, `coq` and `alt-ergo` are the family argument.

Inside a section, one key can be associated with an integer (*e.g.* -555), a boolean (true, false) or a string (*e.g.* "emacs"). One key can appear only once except if its a multi-value key. The order of apparition of the keys inside a section matter only for the multi-value key.

11.4 Drivers of External Provers

The drivers of external provers are readable files, in directory `drivers`. Experimented users can modify them to change the way the external provers are called, in particular which transformations are applied to goals.

```
[main ]
loadpath = "/usr/local/share/why3/theories"
loadpath = "/usr/local/share/why3/modules"
magic = 12
memlimit = 0
plugin = "/usr/local/lib/why3/plugins/tptp"
plugin = "/usr/local/lib/why3/plugins/genequlin"
plugin = "/usr/local/lib/why3/plugins/tptpfof"
plugin = "/usr/local/lib/why3/plugins/hypothesis_selection"
running_provers_max = 4
timelimit = 2

[ide ]
default_editor = "editor %f"
error_color = "orange"
goal_color = "gold"
intro_premises = true
premise_color = "chartreuse"
print_labels = false
print_locs = false
print_time_limit = false
saving_policy = 2
task_height = 404
tree_width = 512
verbose = 0
window_height = 1173
window_width = 1024

[prover coq]
command = "why3-cpulimit 0 %m -s coqtop -batch -I %l/coq-tactic -R %l/coq Why3 -l %f"
driver = "/usr/local/share/why3/drivers/coq.drv"
editor = "coqide"
interactive = true
name = "Coq"
version = "8.3pl3"

[prover alt-ergo]
command = "why3-cpulimit %t %m -s alt-ergo %f"
driver = "/usr/local/share/why3/drivers/alt_ergo_0.93.drv"
editor = ""
interactive = false
name = "Alt-Ergo"
version = "0.93"
```

Figure 11.1: Sample why3.conf file

[TO BE COMPLETED LATER]

11.5 Transformations

Here is a quick documentation of provided transformations. We give first the non-splitting ones, *e.g.* those which produce one goal as result, and others which produces any number of goals.

Notice that the set of available transformations in your own installation is given by

```
why3 --list-transforms
```

11.5.1 Non-splitting transformations

eliminate_algebraic Replaces algebraic data types by first-order definitions [9]

eliminate_builtin Suppress definitions of symbols which are declared as builtin in the driver, *i.e.* with a "syntax" rule.

eliminate_definition_func Replaces all function definitions with axioms.

eliminate_definition_pred Replaces all predicate definitions with axioms.

eliminate_definition Apply both transformations above.

eliminate_mutual_recursion Replaces mutually recursive definitions with axioms.

eliminate_recursion Replaces all recursive definitions with axioms.

eliminate_if_term replaces terms of the form `if formula then t2 else t3` by lifting them at the level of formulas. This may introduce `if then else` in formulas.

eliminate_if_fmla replaces formulas of the form `if f1 then f2 else f3` by an equivalent formula using implications and other connectives.

eliminate_if Apply both transformations above.

eliminate_inductive replaces inductive predicates by (incomplete) axiomatic definitions, *i.e.* construction axioms and an inversion axiom.

eliminate_let_fmla Eliminates `let` by substitution, at the predicate level.

eliminate_let_term Eliminates `let` by substitution, at the term level.

eliminate_let Apply both transformations above.

encoding_smt Encode polymorphic types into monomorphic type [3].

encoding_tptp Encode theories into unsorted logic.

inline_all expands all non-recursive definitions.

inline_goal Expands all outermost symbols of the goal that have a non-recursive definition.

inline_trivial removes definitions of the form

```
function  f x_1 .. x_n = (g e_1 .. e_k)
predicate p x_1 .. x_n = (q e_1 .. e_k)
```

when each e_i is either a ground term or one of the x_j, and each x_1 .. x_n occur at most once in the e_i

introduce_premises moves antecedents of implications and universal quantifications of the goal into the premises of the task.

simplify_array Automatically rewrites the task using the lemma `Select_eq` of theory `array.Array`.

simplify_formula reduces trivial equalities $t = t$ to true and then simplifies propositional structure: removes true, false, "f and f" to "f", etc.

simplify_recursive_definition reduces mutually recursive definitions if they are not really mutually recursive, *e.g.*

```
function f : ... = .... g ...
```

```
with g : .. = e
```

becomes

```
function g : .. = e
function f : ... = .... g ...
```

if f does not occur in e

simplify_trivial_quantification simplifies quantifications of the form

```
    forall x, x=t -> P(x)
```

or

```
    forall x, t=x -> P(x)
```

when x does not occur in t into

```
P(t)
```

More generally, it applies this simplification whenever x=t appear in a negative position.

simplify_trivial_quantification_in_goal same as above but applies only in the goal.

split_premise splits conjunctive premises.

11.5.2 Splitting transformations

full_split_all composition of `split_premise` and `full_split_goal`.

full_split_goal puts the goal in a conjunctive form, returns the corresponding set of subgoals. The number of subgoals generated may be exponential in the size of the initial goal.

simplify_formula_and_task same as `simplify_formula` but also removes the goal if it is equivalent to true.

split_all composition of `split_premise` and `split_goal`.

split_goal if the goal is a conjunction of goals, returns the corresponding set of subgoals. The number of subgoals generated is linear in the size of the initial goal.

split_intro when a goal is an implication, moves the antecedents into the premises.

Bibliography

[1] A. Ayad and C. Marché. Multi-prover verification of floating-point programs. In J. Giesl and R. Hähnle, editors, *Fifth International Joint Conference on Automated Reasoning*, Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence, Edinburgh, Scotland, July 2010. Springer.

[2] Y. Bertot and P. Castéran. *Interactive Theorem Proving and Program Development.* Springer-Verlag, 2004.

[3] F. Bobot, S. Conchon, E. Contejean, and S. Lescuyer. Implementing Polymorphism in SMT solvers. In C. Barrett and L. de Moura, editors, *SMT 2008: 6th International Workshop on Satisfiability Modulo*, volume 367 of *ACM International Conference Proceedings Series*, pages 1–5, 2008.

[4] F. Bobot, J.-C. Filliâtre, C. Marché, and A. Paskevich. Why3: Shepherd your herd of provers. In *Boogie 2011: First International Workshop on Intermediate Verification Languages*, Wrocław, Poland, August 2011.

[5] S. Conchon and E. Contejean. The Alt-Ergo automatic theorem prover. http://alt-ergo.lri.fr/, 2008. APP deposit under the number IDDN FR 001 110026 000 S P 2010 000 1000.

[6] D. Detlefs, G. Nelson, and J. B. Saxe. Simplify: a theorem prover for program checking. *J. ACM*, 52(3):365–473, 2005.

[7] J.-C. Filliâtre and C. Marché. The Why/Krakatoa/Caduceus platform for deductive program verification. In W. Damm and H. Hermanns, editors, *19th International Conference on Computer Aided Verification*, volume 4590 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 173–177, Berlin, Germany, July 2007. Springer.

[8] C. Okasaki. *Purely Functional Data Structures.* Cambridge University Press, 1998.

[9] A. Paskevich. Algebraic types and pattern matching in the logical language of the Why verification platform. Technical Report 7128, INRIA, 2009. http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00439232/en/.

[10] N. Shankar and P. Mueller. Verified Software: Theories, Tools and Experiments (VSTTE'10). Software Verification Competition, August 2010. http://www.macs.hw.ac.uk/vstte10/Competition.html.

List of Figures

Index